



Final Internal Audit Report Sheltered Accommodation (Extra Care Service) September 2020

Distribution: Executive Director Health Wellbeing and Adults (Final Only)

Director of Operations

Head of Service for Older People

Head of 25 to 65 Disability

Extra Care Service Manager

| Assurance Level | Issues Raised | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|---|--|
| Substantial Assurance | Priority 1 | 0 | |
| | Priority 2 | 3 | |
| | Priority 3 | 0 | |

Confidentiality and Disclosure Clause

This report ("Report") was prepared by Mazars LLP at the request of London Borough of Croydon and terms for the preparation and scope of the Report have been agreed with them. The matters raised in this Report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this Report is as accurate as possible, Internal Audit have only been able to base findings on the information and documentation provided and consequently no complete guarantee can be given that this Report is necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

The Report was prepared solely for the use and benefit of London Borough of Croydon and to the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification. Accordingly, any reliance placed on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Please refer to the Statement of Responsibility in Appendix 3 of this report for further information about responsibilities, limitations and confidentiality.

Contents

Page

| Exec | utive | Sum | mary |
|------|-------|-----|------|
| | | | |

| 1. | Introduction | 3 |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2. | Key Issues | 3 |

Detailed Report

3. Actions and Key Findings/Rationale4

Appendices

- 1. Terms of Reference
- 2. Definitions for Audit Opinions and Issues Raised
- 3. Statement of Responsibility

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Sheltered blocks allow vulnerable older residents to maintain their independence in their own self-contained flats, while also receiving a greater degree of security and service, including care and support from on-site staff.
- 1.2 Following the repeated complaints that the services for sheltered accommodation had been falling below acceptable standards, the Council brought back in-house the care of 237 older tenants in special sheltered flats and ended its contract with the existing private provider in January 2020. This consisted of six sheltered housing blocks at:
 - Frylands Court in New Addington;
 - Southsea Court in Broad Green:
 - Toldene Court in Old Coulsdon;
 - Brookhurst Court in South Norwood;
 - Freeman Court in Norbury; and
 - Truscott House in Thornton Heath.
- 1.3 This audit was conducted as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2019/20. It should be noted that the audit was postponed due to the Coronavirus pandemic (Covid-19), government advice and lockdown period and only commenced in June 2020.
- 1.4 Covid-19 has also impacted on the Extra Care Service, with some of the tasks relating to the transition of the Service in-house being delayed, as is evident in some of the findings of this internal audit.

2. Key Issues

Priority 2 Issues

Job descriptions for the transferred staff within the Extra Care Service team were not available. (Issue 1)

49% of transferred staff had not yet attended the corporate induction (including health and safety training). (Issue 2)

A service plan and/or strategy was not in place. (Issue 3)

.

3. Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

| Control | Control Area 1: Transfer and Management of Staff | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Priority | Action Propo | sed by Management | Detailed Finding/Rational – Issue 1 | |
| 2 | ECH restructure work is underway. Role Profiles are finalised. Grading work to be completed by end of September 2020. | | The Extra Care Service was previously outsourced to Care UK, who had subcontracted it to London Care, before being transferred back to the Council on 4 January 2020 together with 134 staff. Although the roles undertaken by these transferred staff are much the same as before, having a clear job description allows the transferred staff to understand the responsibilities and duties that are required | |
| | through gov | | and expected. | |
| | November 2020 Consultation to start in Dec 2020 | | Examination of the roles profile and job evaluation questionnaire found that this had not yet been finalised. Discussion with Head of Service established that this had been delayed due to Covid-19, but that the Extra Care Service Manager was working | |
| | Restructure to 2021 | be complete by Feb | with a Human Resources Consultant in setting up a job profile for all the positions within the Team. | |
| Role profiles were requested multiple times from London Care as part of the TUPE ELI information but were not provided. The duties are well understood by staff. | | ndon Care as part of the formation but were not ne duties are well | Where appropriate role profiles are not in place for all positions after six months of being transferred, there is a risk that staff will not be fully aware of their roles and responsibilities and what is expected from the Council. This may impact on performance of the service and also staff morale. | |
| | | manual was provided in e for reference and | | |
| Responsible officer Deadline | | Deadline | | |
| Extra Care Service Manager February 2021 | | February 2021 | | |

| Control | Control Area 1: Transfer and Management of Staff | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Priority | Action Propo | sed by Management | Detailed Finding/Rational – Issue 2 | |
| 2 | Final 4 Inspire induction sessions to take place in late August and September. These sessions will be delivered on line with staff using communal spaces to socially distance and take part in the virtual sessions. | | To help new staff settle into their role and to familiarise them with the Council, an induction programme is in place to help guide them through their probationary period. This induction programme includes a half day corporate induction session (INSPIRE) where new staff are introduced to the Council's values, objectives, structure, culture, policies and rules. All new staff are expected to attend INSPIRE within one month of starting in their new role. This is supplemented by a local induction and an online induction. | |
| | 25 th August an 27 th the Augus 31 st August an 2 nd September | st pm session n session | It was explained that, due to the volume of staff (131 transferred staff less 3 leavers) and the nature of the services being provided (i.e. staff being required to be on standby) it was decided that the corporate induction would be held over ten sessions. However, due to the disruption caused by Covid-19, only six of the planned sessions had so far taken place (with 67 out of 131 (51%) transferred staff attending this corporate induction.) At the time of this audit (July 2020), the induction was still due for the remaining of 64 transferred staff. | |
| Registered Managers and Team Leaders have completed Health And Safety training and have used this knowledge in Team/Scheme meetings that take place monthly. Procedures and Risk Assessments are discussed. Staff have also received Covid safe training. | | completed Health And g and have used this Team/Scheme meetings to monthly. Procedures essments are discussed. | Where transferred (new) staff are not inducted properly within set timeframes there is a risk that they may not understand and comply with the Council's policies, processes and practices and statutory requirements thereby failing to become fully competent in their jobs and the working environment at the earliest stage. Without proper induction for health and safety induction procedures, there is an increased risk of an employee being vulnerable to workplace hazards and the organisations may fail to comply with legislative requirements. | |
| Respor | nsible officer | Deadline | | |
| Head of 25 to 65 Disability / Extra Care Service Manager September 2020 | | September 2020 | | |

| Control | Control Area 6: Service Planning and Strategy | | | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Priority | Action Propo | sed by Management | Detailed Finding/Rational – Issue 3 | |
| 2 | Managers tool 2020, following plan was work Covid took over A service plan drafted using the and the lesson The service plans and the ifor 20/21. The service plans service plans and the ifor 20/21. | day with the Registered of place on 24th February of the day a draft service ed on but the priorities of er 3 three weeks later. is in the process of being the work of the away day and lan will inform the team individual staff appraisals an will be completed by 20 and shared with the imagers and wider team. | A strategy or service plan was not in place to provide a framework for the development and management of extra care services. Discussion with the Extra Care Service Manager established that, due to the management and resource challenges during Covid-19, particularly in that the Service work with a vulnerable | |
| Responsible officer Deadline | | Deadline | | |
| Extra Care Service Manager September 2020 | | September 2020 | | |



TERMS OF REFERENCE

Sheltered Accommodation

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Sheltered blocks are to allow vulnerable older residents to maintain their independence in their own self-contained flats, while also receiving a greater degree of security and service, including care and support from on-site staff.
- 1.2 Following the repeated complaints that the services for sheltered accommodation had been falling below acceptable standards, the Council is bringing back in-house the care of 237 older tenants in special sheltered flats and ending its contract with the existing private provider.
- 1.3 From January 2020, the Council will take charge of six sheltered housing blocks at:
 - Frylands Court in New Addington;
 - Southsea Court in Broad Green:
 - Toldene Court in Old Coulsdon:
 - Brookhurst Court in South Norwood:
 - Freeman Court in Norbury; and
 - Truscott House in Thornton Heath.
- 1.4 This audit is being conducted as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2019-20.

2. OBJECTIVES AND METHOD

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls / processes.
- 2.2 The audit will for each controls / process being considered:
 - Walkthrough the processes to consider the key controls;
 - Conduct sample testing of the identified key controls, and
 - Report on these accordingly

3. SCOPE

3.1 This audit therefore included the following areas (and issues raised):

| | Issues Raised | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Control Areas/Risks | Priority 1 (High) | Priority 2 (Medium) | Priority 3 (Low) |
| Transfer and Management of Staff | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Transfer and Management of utilities, keys, codes and data | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Communication with Residents | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Budget Setting and Monitoring | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Governance of the Service | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Service Planning and Strategy | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 3 | 0 |



DEFINITIONS FOR AUDIT OPINIONS AND ISSUES RAISED

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

| Full Assurance | There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are consistently applied. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Substantial Assurance | While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesses in the design or level of non-compliance which may put this achievement at risk. |
| Limited Assurance | There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and/or non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk. |
| No Assurance | Controls are non-existent or weak and/or there are high levels of non-compliance, leaving the system open to the high risk of error or abuse which could result in financial loss and/or reputational damage. |

Priorities assigned to issues raised are based on the following criteria:

| Priority 1 (High) | Fundamental control weaknesses that require the immediate attention of management to mitigate significant exposure to risk. |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Priority 2 (Medium) | Control weakness that represent an exposure to risk and require timely action. |
| Priority 3 (Low) | Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, action to address still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice. |



STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

We take responsibility to the London Borough of Croydon for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The responsibility for designing and maintaining a sound system of internal control and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management, with internal audit providing a service to management to enable them to achieve this objective. Specifically, we assess the adequacy and effectiveness of the system of internal control arrangements implemented by management and perform sample testing on those controls in the period under review with a view to providing an opinion on the extent to which risks in this area are managed.

We plan our work in order to ensure that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses. However, our procedures alone should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify any circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices.

This report is confidential and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 0C308299.