## Schedule of Main Modifications to the Draft South London Waste Plan

July 2022

Including:

Annex 1 – Chessington Railhead Site Annex 2 – Monitoring Table Annex 3 – Draft South London Waste Plan Appendix 2

## MAIN MODIFICATIONS TO SUBMISSION DRAFT SOUTH LONDON WASTE PLAN

Page reference is to Draft South London Waste Plan Submission Version (Regulation 19)



## Main Modifications to the Draft South London Waste Plan

- Strikethrough text indicates a proposed deletion.
  Bold Underlined indicates a proposed addition to the text.

Please note: This Schedule of Proposed Modifications superseded the previous schedules (Exam Doc SLWP02a and SLWP02b) and has a new number system.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
1.1	1	Para 1.1 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence	The South London Waste Plan sets out policies and safeguards sites for waste facilities across the boroughs of Croydon, Kingston, Merton and Sutton from 2021 2022 to 2036 2037.	To ensure consistency with the NPPF requirement for a 15-year plan period from adoption.
1	1	Insert new paragraph after 1.4	After para 1.3, insert: <u>Community involvement in local planning matters is an essential part of the</u> <u>planning process. Each of the South London Waste Plan Boroughs has an adopted</u> <u>Statement of Community involvement (SCI), a document which aims to ensure that</u> <u>all sections of the community understand how they are able to contribute to the</u> <u>planning process. When planning applications are submitted to the Boroughs,</u> <u>including applications involving waste uses, community involvement will be sought</u> <u>in accordance with the relevant Boroughs' SCI.</u>	To clarify how communities will be involved in future planning applications.
2	3	Para 2.1 Final sentence	"This South London Waste Plan is the replacement document and covers the period 2021 2022 to 2036 2037 and supersedes the 2012 South London Waste Plan. A list of superseded policies is set out in Appendix 5".	To ensure the Plan period is a minimum of 15 years from adoption. To make it clear that the 2012 South London Waste Plan and its Policies is superseded in full.
3	5	Para 2.11 Third bullet	<ul> <li>95% of construction, demolition and excavation waste to be recycled by 2020 of excavation material to go to beneficial use and 95% of construction and demolition waste for reuse, recycling or recovery. Beneficial use could include using excavated material within the development, or in habitat creation, flood defences, climate change adaption/mitigation or landfill restoration.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and to ensure the wording in the SLWP is consistent with the 2021 London Plan and NPPF.
4	10	Para 3.8	Add: The majority of this was household waste sent to Slough Waste Planning Authority (specifically to Lakeside Energy Recovery Facility) but, in the future, this is due to be managed at Beddington. <u>Similarly, HCI waste sent to the Redhill Landfill site is due to</u>	To improve clarity on cross- boundary movements of waste and ongoing duty-to-cooperate.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			be managed in Beddington, following the planned closure of the landfill in 2027. Table 45 sets out the exports of construction, demolition and excavation waste. The largest proportion (97,000 tonnes) was sent to nine different waste treatment facilities located within Surrey Waste Planning Authority, with no one facility receiving more than 31,000 tonnes. However, the Plan identifies sufficient capacity within the plan area to exceed arisings for construction and demolition waste. The Boroughs will continue to monitor cross-boundary movements of waste through the duty to cooperate.	
5	12	Para 3.11	The task for the South London Waste Plan boroughs was to ensure that net self-sufficiency can be achieved and those facilities <u>outside the South London waste plan area</u> which receive South London waste are able to do so in the future <u>. No planning issues have been</u> <u>identified which will prevent the continued cross-boundary movements of waste</u> and the_achievement of this task can be seen in the Statements of Cooperation which accompany this plan. <u>The Boroughs will continue to monitor cross-boundary movements of waste</u> and engage with relevant authorities through the duty to cooperate, so any <u>substantial changes can be considered in accordance with Appendix 1 'Monitoring'</u>	To improve clarity on cross- boundary movements of waste and ongoing duty-to-cooperate.
5.1	13	Figure 7	Replace Figure 7 with the update version below: Figure 7 Household, Commercial & Industrial Waste Targets (thousand tonnes)	To ensure figures covered a 15- year Plan period from adoption,
			2022 890,800 2027 904,800 2032 918,800	as required by the NPPF.
			2037 932,800 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1.000	
			Croydon Kingston Merton Sutton	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
6	14	Para 3.16	The London Plan sets a target that <u>in</u> London <u>95% of excavation waste will go to</u> <u>beneficial use and recycle and reuse</u> <u>95% of construction and demolition waste will</u> <u>be reused, recycled or recovered-95% of Construction and Demolition Waste by 2020.</u>	To improve clarity and to ensure the wording in the SLWP is consistent with the 2021 London Plan and NPPF.
6.1	14	Figure 8	Replace Figure 8 with the update version below:   Figure 8 Construction and Demolition Waste Targets (thousand tonnes)   2022   2027   2032   2032   2032   2032   2032   2032   2034   2035	To ensure figures covered a 15- year Plan period from adoption, as required by the NPPF.
7	19	Para 4.2	0       100       200       300       400         • Croydon       • Kingston       • Merton       • Sutton    To achieve the vision, the South London Waste Plan has the following objectives, which will be delivered through the policies in the Plan:          • Objective 1:       To plan for net self-sufficiently by Meet the 2019 ItP London Plan meeting the 2021 London Plan target for Household and Commercial and industrial	To improve the clarity of the strategic objectives, avoid repetition and strengthen the linkages to the vision of the SLWP, ensure it is justified and positively prepared.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li>Objective 2: : <u>To plan for net self-sufficiently by meeting Meet</u> the identified needs for Construction and Demolition Waste, Excavation Waste, Low Level Radioactive Waste, Agricultural, where practical or necessary. <u>To be delivered through Policies WP2, WP3 and WP4.</u></li> <li>Objective 3: Safeguard the existing waste sites to meet these targets and needs on existing sites, as set out on Pages 44-91 of this plan. <u>To be delivered through Policies WP3 and WP4.</u></li> <li>Objective 4: Ensure there is<u>Support the need for</u> sufficient land for other industrial uses within the South London Waste Plan area's industrial estates <u>by not safeguarding more land for waste management than is required.</u> <u>To be delivered through Policies WP1, WP2, WP3 and WP4.</u></li> <li>Objective 5: Ensure waste facilities use sustainable design and construction methods and also protect and, where possible, enhance <del>amenity.</del> <u>the character and appearance of its surroundings.</u> <u>To be delivered through Policies WP4, WP5, WP6, WP7, WP8 and WP9.</u></li> <li>Objective 6: Ensure the effects of new development are mitigated and, where possible, enhance amenity. <u>To be delivered through Policies WP4, WP5, WP6, WP8 and WP9.</u></li> <li>Objective 7: To support the movement of waste as far up the waste hierarchy as practicable. <u>To be delivered through Policies WP3 and WP7</u></li> <li>Objective 8: To deliver waste management capacity in line with the proximity principle and to support the co-location of facilities to minimise waste movements and support opportunities for the circular economy. <u>To be delivered through Policies WP3 and WP7</u></li> <li>Objective 9: To ensure the delivery of sustainable waste development within South London through Policies WP1 to WP2</li> </ul>	
7.1	22	Figure 11	Replace Figure 11 with the update version below:	To ensure figures covered a 15- year Plan period from adoption, as required by the NPPF.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.			Proposed Change	S		Reason for Change
			Figure 11 Aris					
			Borough		2022		2037	
			Borough	Arisings	Apportionment	Arisings	Apportionment	
			Croydon	306,100	252,800	322,600	264,800	
			Kingston	152,400	187,600	158,400	196,600	
			Merton	174,500	238,750	182,000	250,000	
			Sutton	161,550	211,650	169,800	221,400	
			Total	794,550	890,800	832,800	932,800	
			Figure 13 Capa Industrial Was		Surplus for Household and	Sout 94 Sout 93	h London Capacity (2021) 5,910 tonnes per annum h London Forecast (2037) 2,800 tonnes per annum uth London Surplus 10 tonnes per annum	To ensure figures covered a 15- year Plan period from adoption, as required by the NPPF and to ensure consistency within the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
9	23	After para 5.8 New para	As such, the boroughs will not normally support new waste sites coming forward (outside of sites providing compensatory provision, as set out in Policy WP3), unless there are exceptional circumstances that justify it. This strikes a balance between meeting the apportionment, achieving net self-sufficiency and not stifling industrial land uses, whilst giving some flexibility for new waste sites to be delivered in appropriate circumstances.	To strengthen the clarity of the SLWP with regards to new sites to ensure consistency with the 2021 London Plan and national policy and to ensure the SLWP is justified and effective.
		New para	Applications outside of safeguarded waste sites will not be supported unless it can be demonstrate that there is a need for such a facility, having regard to the latest Waste Authority Monitoring Report and the ability of the Plan to meet the London Plan apportionment figure. In addition, applicants will need to provide evidence as to why it is not possible to use, expand or intensify an existing safeguarded waste site (as set out on pages 44-91 of this Plan).	
		New para	Furthermore, applications proposing waste facilities outside of the existing safeguarded sites will not be supported unless it can be demonstrated that the proposed site would be better suited to meeting the identified need for South London having regard to delivering the vision and objectives of the South London Waste Plan. For example, there may be an opportunity to co-locate a recycling facility with a reprocessing plant or an opportunity for small scale expansion of an existing site onto adjacent land which helps facilitate the maximum use of an existing waste site and enable co-location of facilities. There may be instances in the future where advances in waste technologies are such that existing sites do not meet the technical requirements of a proposed waste management facility, for example, the identified locations might be too small for the proposed development or the facility may need to be located near a specific waste producer. In any event, a new waste site will have to satisfy the locational criteria set out in Policy WP4 (b) to (g). The list of safeguarded waste sites will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report and new sites will be safeguarded for waste uses once operational.	
10	23	Policy WP1	<ul> <li>WP1 Strategic Approach to Household and Commercial and Industrial Waste</li> <li>(a) The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan will work with the waste management industry to continue to develop efficient and more effective management eliminating the need for additional waste capacity.</li> </ul>	To ensure the policy is consistent with national policy and is justified and positively prepared.
			(b) During the lifetime of the plan, the boroughs of the South London Waste Plan will seek to meet the <del>2019 ItP</del> <b>2021</b> London Plan apportionment target of managing 932,800	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			tonnes of Household and Commercial and Industrial waste per annum within their boundaries across the plan period to <del>2036</del> <b>2037</b> .	
			(c) The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan will deliver this by safeguarding existing waste sites and encouraging the intensification of these sites as appropriate (see Policy WP3).	
			(d) New waste sites (either for transfer or management) will not <u>normally</u> be permitted, unless <u>:</u> they are for compensatory provision (see Policy WP3).	
			(i) <u>they are for compensatory provision (in accordance with Policy WP4);</u> or	
			(ii) <u>there is an identified need for such a facility within the South London</u> Waste Plan area that cannot be met on a site elsewhere in London; and	
			(iii) there is robust evidence that existing safeguarded sites within the South London Waste Plan area are not available or suitable or that needs cannot be met through the adaption or intensification of existing facilities; and	
			(iv) <u>they would manage waste as high up the waste hierarchy as</u> practicable; and	
			<ul> <li>(v) they would accord with all relevant aims and policies of the South</li> <li>London Waste Plan (particularly the locational criteria set out in Policy</li> <li>WP4 (b) to (e)) and the applicable borough's Development Plan,</li> </ul>	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.		Proposed Changes		Reason for Change
10.1	24	Figure 14	Replace Figure 14 with the up	date version below:		To ensure figures covered a 15- year Plan period from adoption,
			Figure 14 Construction and (tonnes per annum)	Demolition Waste Arisings	at 2022 and 2037	as required by the NPPF.
			Borough	2022 Arisings	2037 Arisings	
			Croydon	293,381	305,058	
			Kingston	37,966	39,040	
			Merton	48,391	54,314	
			Sutton	15,707	16,607	
			Total	395,445	415,019	
			Figure 15 Capacity, Forecast and	nd Surplus for Construction and De	South London Capacity (2021) 568,162 tonnes per annum South London Forecast (2037) 415,019 tonnes per annum South London Surplus 153,173 tonnes per annum	year Plan period from adoption, as required by the NPPF and to ensure consistency within the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.		Proposed Change	s	Reason for Change
12	26	Para 5.17	Add after last sentence As such, the Borough there are exceptiona	To strengthen the clarity of the SLWP with regards to new sites to ensure consistency with the 2021 London Plan and national policy and to ensure the SLWP is justified and effective.		
13	26	Para 5.18	Add after last sentence The Waste Data Interro generated in the South tonnage of this waste, the Construction and Demo Industrial and Construct London Waste Plan bor circumstances would	To strengthen the clarity of the SLWP with regards to new sites to ensure consistency with the 2021 London Plan and national policy and to ensure the SLWP is justified and effective.		
14	26	Para 5.19	Add after last sentence As such, the Borough there are exceptiona	To strengthen the clarity of the SLWP with regards to new sites to ensure consistency with the 2021 London Plan and national policy and to ensure the SLWP is justified and effective.		
14.1	27	Figure 16	Replace Figure 16 with th	he update version below:	To ensure figures covered a 15- year Plan period from adoption,	
			Figure 16 Hazardous V	Waste Arisings at 2022 and 2	037 (tonnes per annum)	as required by the NPPF and to
			Borough	2022 Arisings	2037 Arisings	ensure consistency within the SLWP.
			Croydon	9,008	9,217	
			Kingston	2,404	2,442	
			Merton	4,591	4,704	
	Sutton 5,239 5,328				5,328	
			Total	21,242	21,692	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
15	28	Para 5.21	After para 5.21, insert:	To improve clarity and ensure consistency throughout the SLWP.
		New para	The list of safeguarded waste sites will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report and new sites will be safeguarded for waste uses once operational.	
16	28	Policy WP2	<ul> <li>WP2 Strategic Approach to Other Forms of Waste</li> <li>(a) The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan will work with the waste management industry to continue to develop efficient and more effective management eliminating the need for additional waste capacity.</li> <li>(b) During the lifetime of the plan, the boroughs of the South London Waste Plan will seek to meet the forecast arisings for Construction and Demolition waste of managing 415,019 tonnes per annum within their boundaries across the plan period to 2032. The boroughs of the South London Waste Plan will deliver this by safeguarding existing waste sites and encouraging the intensification of these sites as appropriate (see Policy WP3).</li> <li>(c) Temporary sites for the deposit of Excavation Waste will be supported where they are for beneficial use and subject to Policy WP5.</li> <li>(d) New sites (either transfer or management) will not normally be supported for Construction and Demolition Waste, Radioactive Waste, Agricultural Waste and Hazardous Waste, <u>unless:</u> <ul> <li>(i) They are for compensatory provision (in accordance with Policy WP4); Or</li> <li>(ii) there is an identified need for such a facility within the South London Waste Plan area that cannot be met on a site elsewhere in London; and</li> <li>(iii) there is robust evidence that existing safeguarded sites within the South London Waste Plan area are not available or suitable, or that needs cannot be met through the adaption or intensification of existing facilities; and</li> <li>(iv) they would manage waste as high up the waste hierarchy as practicable; and</li> <li>(v) they would accord with all relevant aims and policies of the South London Waste Plan rea are not available borough's Development Plan,</li> </ul> </li></ul>	To ensure the policy is consistent with national policy and is justified and positively prepared.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			(e) Development for improvements to the operation of and the enhancement of the environment of the Hogsmill Sewage Treatment Works and the Beddington Sewage Treatment Works will be supported, subject to the other policies in this South London Waste Plan and the relevant borough's Development Plan.	
17	29	Para 5.24	In order to use land efficiently and to ensure the viability of existing businesses, the South London Waste Plan boroughs will allow the intensification of uses, as appropriate, on the safeguarded sites to allow a greater throughput on the site. This includes intensification or redevelopment to provide compensatory provision.	To strengthen the clarity of the SLWP with regards to how compensatory provision can be delivered.
18	29	Para 5.24	Similarly, the South London Waste Plan boroughs will be supportive of businesses which are attempting to increase the waste management element of Waste Transfer Stations but any development associated with an increase in the waste management element of Waste Transfer Stations will have to comply with all the <b>relevant</b> policies in a borough's Development Plan.	Contributes to consistency, clarity and/or correct errors.
19	29	Para 5.25 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence	The 2019 ItP 2021 London Plan states "waste sites should only be released to other land uses where processing capacity is re-provided elsewhere in London, based on the maximum achievable throughput of the site proposed to be lost. When assessing the throughput of a site, the maximum throughput achieved over the last five years should be used; where this is not available potential capacity of the site should be appropriately assessed" (paragraph 9.9.2). The Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator should be used when assessing the maximum throughput achieved over the last five years.	
		New para	Applicants will need to demonstrate that provision of replacement capacity is secured before permission is granted for a non-waste use. This could be through the intensification of an existing safeguarded waste site or a compensatory site of a suitable size to meet at least the maximum annual throughput, subject to the requirements of Policy WP4. Boroughs will use conditions or legal agreements to satisfy themselves that compensatory capacity will be delivered before a safeguarded waste site is released to another use.	
		New para	In accordance with Policy SI 9 of the 2021 London Plan compensatory capacity should be provided within London. If it can be demonstrated that there is sufficient capacity in London to meet London's apportionment and net self-sufficiency targets, it may be possible to justify the release of waste sites for other uses without the provision of compensatory provision. The evidence base supporting the economic policies in the 2019 ItP 2021 London Plan	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			clearly demonstrates that the South London Waste Plan area has exceptional demand for business and industrial land from non-waste uses. Due to this the evidence also indicates that Croydon, Kingston and Merton should not release industrial land and that Sutton should provide more industrial capacity. As the South London Waste Plan area is already providing 13% more waste management capacity than waste arising in the South London Waste Plan area, the South London Waste Plan Boroughs have to carefully consider the balance of demand for further waste uses with the demand for other business and industrial enterprises to ensure a diverse and robust business base. <u>To help achieve a balance between</u> <u>ensuring there is sufficient waste management capacity in the South London Waste</u> <u>Plan area, whilst not stifling other land uses that are in high demand,</u> <u>compensatory provision from other London Boroughs will not normally be</u> <u>supported, unless the criteria in Policy WP3 can be met.</u>	
20	30	Policy WP3	<ul> <li>WP3 Existing Waste Sites</li> <li>Safeguarding <ul> <li>(a) The sites set out on Pages 44-91 of this South London Waste Plan will be safeguarded for waste uses or waste/mineral uses only.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Intensification <ul> <li>(b) The intensification of use of a safeguarded waste site, measured by the increase of tonnes of waste managed per annum, will be supported, subject to the other policies in this South London Waste Plan and the relevant borough's Development Plan.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Safeguarding Compensatory Provision <ul> <li>(c) Compensatory provision for the loss of an existing safeguarded waste site will be required with the level of compensatory provision necessary to be considered on a case-by-case basis at least meeting the equivalent of maximum achievable throughput of the site being lost. The list of safeguarded sites will be updated with any compensatory sites in the Sutton Waste I avate uses only.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(d) Compensatory provision for the loss of a waste site from outside the South London Waste Plan area will not normally be permitted, unless there is robust evidence that: <ul> <li>(i) the compensatory provision is required for London to manage its waste</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To ensure the policy is consistent with national policy and is justified and positively prepared.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li>(ii) there are no available or suitable sites within the borough or waste planning area where the waste site will be lost; and</li> <li>(iii) existing safeguarded sites within the South London Waste Plan area are not available or suitable or that needs cannot be met through the adaption or intensification of existing facilities; and</li> <li>(iv) it would manage waste as high up the waste hierarchy as practicable; and</li> <li>(v) it would accord with all relevant aims and policies of the South London Waste Plan (particularly the locational criteria set out in Policy WP4 (b) to (e)) and the applicable borough's Development Plan.</li> <li>(e) Applications for non-waste uses on safeguarded waste sites that accord with all relevant aims and policies of the South London Waste Plan and the applicable borough's Development Plan, would be supported subject to appropriate conditions or legal agreements that ensure continued operational capacity.</li> <li>Safeguarding Waste Hierarchy</li> <li>(f) Any development on an existing safeguarded waste site, including for compensatory provision, will be required to result in waste being managed at least to the same level in the waste hierarchy as prior to the development.</li> </ul>	
21	31	Para 5.28	As set out in Policy WP, the <u>The</u> South London Waste Plan expects no new sites for waste use except where they are required for compensatory provision <u>(or new sites meeting the</u> <u>exceptional circumstances, set out in WP1 and WP2)</u> . The location of compensatory sites must be carefully considered.	To improve clarity and consistency within the SLWP.
22	31	Policy WP4	<ul> <li>Proposals for new waste sites <u>or development of existing safeguarded sites</u> to provide compensatory provision should:</li> <li>(a) Demonstrate that the site is capable of providing sufficient compensatory capacity <u>at least</u> the equivalent of maximum achievable throughput of the site being lost.</li> <li>(b) Be Located on sites:</li> </ul>	To strengthen the clarity of the SLWP with regards to how compensatory provision can be delivered and how much, and to ensure consistency with the 2021 London Plan and national policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			(i) <b>Safeguarded for waste, including waste transfer stations, or</b> within Strategic Industrial Locations or Locally Significant Industrial Locations;	
23	31	Policy WP4	d (i) do not result in visually detrimental development conspicuous from <del>strategic open land (eg</del> Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land <del>)</del> ; <u>(v) not within the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land</u>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with national policy.
24	31	Policy WP4	(f) result in waste being managed at least to the same level in the waste hierarchy as the site being lost.         (f) (h) Meet the other policies of the relevant borough's Development Plan.	To ensure consistency with London Plan policy.
25	31	Policy WP4	Consolidated changes to Policy WP4 (as set out above) for ease of reference:         Policy WP4: Sites for Compensatory Provision         Proposals for new waste sites or development of existing safeguarded sites to provide compensatory provision should:         (a) Demonstrate that the site is capable of providing sufficient compensatory capacity at least the equivalent of maximum achievable throughput of the site being lost.         (b) Be located on sites:         (i) safeguarded for waste, including waste transfer stations, or within Strategic Industrial Locations or Locally Significant Industrial Locations;         (ii) not having an adverse effect on nature conservation areas protected by international or national regulations;         (iii) not containing features or have an adverse effect on features identified as being of international or national historic importance; and,         (iV) not having an adverse effect on on-site or off-site flood risk. Proposals involving hazardous waste will not be permitted within Flood Zones 3a or 3b.         (V) not within the Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land         (c) Consider the advantages of the co-location of waste facilities with the negative cumulative effects of a concentration of waste uses in one area;	This is a consolidated version of above modifications for ease of reference.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li>(d) Have particular regard to sites which: <ul> <li>(i) do not result in visually detrimental development conspicuous from strategic open land (eg Green Belt or Metropolitan Open Land);</li> <li>(ii) are located more than 100 metres from open space;</li> <li>(iii) are located outside Groundwater Source Protection Zones (ie sites farthest from protected groundwater sources);</li> <li>(iv) have access to sustainable modes of transport for incoming and outgoing materials, particularly rail and water, and which provide easy access for staff to cycle or walk;</li> <li>(v) have direct access to the strategic road network;</li> <li>(vi) have no Public Rights of Way crossing the site;</li> <li>(Vii) do not adversely affect regional and local nature conservation areas, conservation areas and locally designated areas of special character, archaeological sites and strategic views;</li> <li>(Viii) offer opportunities to accommodate various related facilities on a single site;</li> </ul> </li> <li>(e) Include appropriate mitigation measures which will be considered in assessing site suitability;</li> <li>(f) result in waste being managed at least to the same level in the waste hierarchy as the site being lost.</li> <li>(f) (g) Meet the other policies of the relevant borough's Development Plan.</li> </ul>	
26	33	Policy WP5	(a) Developments for compensatory or intensified waste facilities should <u>contribute</u> <b>positively to the character and quality of the area and</b> ensure that any <b>potential</b> <b>adverse</b> impacts of the development <del>are designed and managed to mitigate any achieve</del> <del>levels that will not significantly adversely affect</del> <b>are appropriately mitigated</b> .	To ensure consistency with national policy.
27	33	Policy WP5	<ul> <li>(c) (iii) Archaeological sites, the historic environment and sensitive receptors, such as schools, hospitals, and residential areas. Heritage Assets and the need to conserve, and where practicable, enhance those elements which contribute to their significance, including their setting;</li> <li>(iv) sensitive receptors, such as schools, hospitals and residential areas;</li> <li>[Renumber other clauses accordingly]</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
28	33	Policy WP5	<ul> <li>(c) (v) Air emissions, including dust, arising from the on-site operations, plant and traffic generated;</li> <li>(C) (v) Air quality and polluting emissions, including dust, from approved construction works, on-site waste operations and associated vehicle movements in the locality of new or intensified waste sites, taking account of national air quality objectives and current exceedances; potential impacts within Air Quality Focus Areas. Air Quality Management Areas and/or the Mayor's expanded Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ); cumulative impacts with other waste sites; the London Plan requirement for development proposals to be at least 'Air Quality Neutral'; and the use of design solutions to prevent or minimise increased exposure of people and in particular vulnerable individuals to poor air quality.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity over air quality requirements and ensure consistency with national policy.
29	33	Policy WP5	<ul> <li>(vii) Traffic generation, access and the suitability of the highway network in the vicinity, including access to and from the strategic road network and the possibility of using sustainable modes of transport for incoming and outgoing materials;</li> <li>(viii) opportunities to minimise 'waste miles' and the potential of using sustainable modes of transport for incoming and outgoing materials</li> <li>(viii) opportunities to minimise 'waste miles' and the potential of using sustainable modes of transport for incoming and outgoing materials</li> <li>(viii) (vix) The safety and security of the site</li> </ul>	To strengthen the links between the Plan policies and the monitoring framework.
30	33	Policy WP5	Amend final sentence of Policy WP5: The information in the schedule below will provide the basis for the assessment of the impact of a development <u>and should therefore be considered as part of any pre-</u> <u>application engagement.</u>	In response to Inspectors Question M4 (i) 13
31	33	Policy WP5	Consolidated changes to Policy WP5 (as set out above) for ease of reference:         WP5 Protecting and Enhancing Amenity         (a) Developments for compensatory or intensified waste facilities should contribute positively to the character and quality of the area and ensure that any potential adverse impacts of the development-are designed and managed to mitigate any achieve levels that will not significantly adversely affect are appropriately mitigated.         (b) The parts of a waste facility site where unloading, loading, storage and processing	This is a consolidated version of above modifications for ease of reference.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			takes place should be within a fully enclosed covered building.	
			<ul> <li>takes place should be within a fully enclosed covered building.</li> <li>(C) Particular regard will be paid to the impact of the development in terms of: <ul> <li>(i) The Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land, recreation land or similar;</li> <li>(ii) Biodiversity, including ensuring that development does not harm nature conservation areas protected by international and national regulations as well as ensuring regional and local nature conservation areas are not adversely affected;</li> <li>(iii) Archaeological sites, the historic environment and sensitive receptors, such as schools, hospitals and residential areas;</li> <li>(iii) Heritage Assets that need to conserve, and where practicable, enhance those elements which contribute to their significance, including their setting;</li> <li>(iv) sensitive receptors, such as schools, hospitals and residential areas;</li> <li>(v) Groundwater, surface water and watercourses;</li> <li>(v) Air emissions, including dust, arising from the on-site operations, plant and traffic generated;</li> <li>(vi) Air quality and polluting emissions, including dust, from approved construction works, on-site waste operations and associated vehicle movements in the locality of new or intensified waste sites, taking account of national air quality objectives and current exceedances; potential impacts within Air Quality Focus Areas. Air Quality Management Areas and/or the Mayor's expanded Ultra Low Emission Zone (ULEZ); cumulative</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
			impacts with other waste sites; the London Plan requirement for development proposals to be at least 'Air Quality Neutral'; and the use of	
			design solutions to prevent or minimise increased exposure of people particularly vulnerable to poor air quality, such as children, people in poor health or the elderly".	
			<ul> <li>(vii) Noise and vibration from the plant and traffic generated;</li> <li>(viii) Traffic generation, access and the suitability of the highway network in the vicinity, including access to and from the strategic road network and the possibility of using sustainable modes of transport for incoming and outgoing materials;</li> <li>(ix) opportunities to minimise 'waste miles' and the potential of using</li> </ul>	
			(x)       Opportunities to initiate wasternings and the potential of dailing         sustainable modes of transport for incoming and outgoing materials         (x)       The safety and security of the site         (xi)       Odour, litter, vermin and birds; and,	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li>(xii) The design of the waste facility, particularly:         <ul> <li>complementing or improving the character of an area;</li> <li>limiting the visual impact of the development by employing hard and soft landscaping and minimising glare;</li> <li>being of a scale, massing or height appropriate to the townscape or landscape;</li> <li>using good quality materials;</li> <li>minimising the requirement for exterior lighting; and,</li> <li>utilising high-quality boundary treatments.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The information in the schedule below will provide the basis for the assessment of the impact of a development <u>and should therefore be considered as part of any pre-application engagement.</u></li> </ul>	
32	Schedule 22. Air Quality <b>Impact</b> Assessment, <b>demonstrating setting out</b> the effect quality in the locality of the <b>proposed development site</b> arising from <b>app construction works, on-site waste operations and associated vehicle the operation of the site and vehicles movements to and from it</b> . <u>In</u>	22. Air Quality <b>Impact</b> Assessment, <b>demonstrating</b> <u>setting out</u> the effects on air quality in the locality of the <u>proposed development</u> <del>site</del> arising from <u>approved</u> <u>construction works, on-site waste operations and associated vehicle movements.</u> <u>the operation of the site and vehicles movements to and from it</u> . <u>In line with</u> <u>London Plan Policy SI 1 on 'Improving Air Quality' and the relevant Local Plan</u> <u>policies, Air Quality Assessments must demonstrate that proposed</u>	To improve clarity over air quality requirements, ensure consistency with national policy and strengthen the links to the monitoring framework.	
			<ul> <li>are at least 'Air Quality Neutral' having regard to the latest available Mayoral guidance on neutral and air guality positive approaches; promote opportunities to deliver further improvements to air guality; and do not conflict with ongoing London-wide or borough level activities aimed at reducing air pollution;</li> <li>do not lead to further deterioration of existing poor air guality; create any new areas that exceed air guality limits; delay the date at which compliance will be achieved in areas that are currently in exceedance of national air guality objectives; or create an unacceptable risk of high levels of exposure to poor air guality;</li> <li>have assessed the cumulative impacts of multiple air pollution sources from the new development, for example, the on-site waste operations and associated vehicle movements, in combination with similar air pollution impacts from approved and proposed development, as advised by the</li> </ul>	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li><u>council's Air Quality Officer.</u></li> <li><u>incorporate design solutions to prevent or minimise increased exposure of people particularly vulnerable to poor air quality, including, but not limited to, children, people in poor health and the elderly; and</u></li> <li><u>incorporate proposed arrangements for post implementation monitoring and annual reporting of local air quality and polluting emissions</u></li> </ul>	
33	34	Policy WP5 Schedule	Schedule: Information which may be required for a planning application:30 Measures for protecting Public Rights of Way31 Transport Assessment, which may address measures such as highway safety measures, protecting Public Rights of Way and an access strategy32 Travel Plan-Transport Management Strategies such as a Delivery Servicing Plan/Freight Plan, a Route Management Strategy, a Construction Logistics Plan and a Travel Plan.32 Route Management Strategy33 Access Strategy34 Delivery Servicing Plan/Freight Plan 	To improve the clarity of the SLWP.
34	36	Para 5.36	A well-designed and managed waste facility should be designed to be sustainable both in construction and future operation. "Designing Waste Facilities – A Guide to Modern Design in Waste" (DEFRA, 2008) states: "There are two aspects of climate change that need to be considered by prospective developers of new waste facilities. First, how will the proposals impact upon the process of climate change through carbon emissions? Second, how will the development be affected as a consequence of the effects of climate change?" In addition, Policy S12 of the 2020 London Plan provides guidance on how to minimise greenhouse gas emissions and Policy GG6 seeks to ensure that sites are adapted to be resilient against the effects of climate change. In responding to the 'climate emergency' and the transition to a zero carbon economy within the South London Waste Plan area, all proposed waste facility developments should seek to achieve the highest standards of sustainable design and construction both in terms of their operational impacts and 'whole life-cycle' carbon emissions of construction materials. As a minimum, all major waste proposals will be required to deliver net zero carbon standards in line with London Plan Policy S12 through application of the Mayor's energy hierarchy (i)	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with London Plan policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			be lean: use less energy and manage demand during operation (ii) be clean: exploit local energy resources (such as secondary heat) and supply energy efficiently and cleanly (iii) be green: maximise opportunities for renewable energy by producing, storing and using renewable energy on-site (iv) be seen: monitor, verify and report on energy performance. A minimum 35% reduction beyond Part L 2013 must be achieved on site for both major and minor proposals. Any shortfall in emissions reductions must then be addressed through a financial contribution to the relevant borough's carbon offset fund.	
35	36	Para 5.38 Insert new paragraph after 5.38 (and renumber subsequent paragraph accordingly)	Developers will have to provide justified costs for their proposals to demonstrate why the 'Excellent' rating would make their proposal unviable. The details of the costs to be provided should ideally be agreed with the relevant local authority as part of pre-application engagement.	To improve the clarity of the SLWP.
36	36	Para 5.39	<ul> <li>Developers should also consider climate change adaptation measures in schemes. "Designing Waste Facilities - A Guide to Modern Design in Waste" also highlights a number of climate change impacts on waste facilities which should also be considered. These comprise. As well as addressing the causes of climate change, waste proposals must be fully adapted to the future impacts of climate change through the following measures:</li> <li>Heating, Cooling and Energy Use Overheating and cooling. Addressing summer overheating and the urban heat island (UHI) effect by incorporating green infrastructure as part of the design and layout in line with the Mayor's minimum 'urban greening factor' standards in London Plan Policy G6 (or the equivalent standards set out at borough level).<sup>1</sup> Ideally, the layout of a building should take advantage of the benefits of landscaping for summertime shading and minimising of heat loss in winter. In addition, external cladding materials should be high mass (e.g. brick or concrete) as they release heat slowly;</li> <li>Flood Readiness. Flood mitigation measures proposed should be designed to consider the risk both to and from the development over its planned lifetime. Facilities should have a drainage system to cope with more frequent high levels of rainfall. This system should include Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), green roofs and walls, soakaways and permeable pavements and parking areas. Flood Risk. Dealing with the increased frequency and severity of storm events resulting from climate</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with London Plan policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li>change by incorporating sustainable urban design (SuDS) measures such as filter strips, permeable paving soakaways and green roofs as part of the design and layout. All waste proposals must achieve greenfield run off rates and volumes in the 1 in 100 year storm event plus climate change in line with part B of London Plan Policy SI 13;</li> <li>Odours. Dealing with odour issues which are exacerbated with higher temperatures by avoiding the use of unenclosed waste facilities will become particularly vulnerable to odour issues.</li> </ul>	
37	36	Para 5.39	Paragraph 5.41 (now 5.42): 5.41 5.42 Therefore in accordance with national and regional advice, the 201921 ItP London Plan (including the Mayor of London's Sustainable Design and Construction SPG, 2014) and this plan's objectives:	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with London Plan policy.
38	37	Para 5.40	In the construction phase of any development, consideration should be given to Construction, Demolition and Excavation Waste on-site as this is the most sustainable approach to dealing with this form of waste. <u>It is also an opportunity to promote and contribute towards the</u> <u>London Plan target of 95% of excavation material going to beneficial use and 95% of</u> <u>construction and demolition waste being reused, recycled or recovered.</u>	To improve clarity and to ensure the wording in the SLWP is consistent with the 2021 London Plan and NPPF.
39	37	Policy WP6	<ul> <li>(b) Waste facilities will be required to:</li> <li>(v) minimise waste and promote sustainable management of construction waste on site the beneficial use of excavation waste on site and the reuse, recycling or recover of construction and demolition waste on site; and</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and to ensure the wording in the SLWP is consistent with the 2021 London Plan and NPPF.
40	38	Para 5.44 Last sentence	Therefore, the South London Waste Plan boroughs will not expect a proposal for such a facility to be submitted. Notwithstanding this, the Mayor's London Plan sets out a number of benefits from waste that should be encouraged when development proposals are brought forward. Therefore, in accordance with London Plan Policy SI 8 Part D, the South London Waste Plan Boroughs will support schemes that also propose additional benefits alongside waste operations.	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with London Plan policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
41	38	Policy WP7	<ul> <li>WP7 The Benefits of Waste <ul> <li>(a) Waste development for the intensification of sites, which involve the reuse, refurbishment, remanufacture of products or the production of by-products, will be encouraged.</li> <li>(b) Waste development for additional Energy from Waste facilities will not that can deliver additional benefits, as set out in London Plan Policy SI 8 Part D, Points 3 and 4, will be supported encouraged.</li> <li>(c) Waste development for the intensification of sites should seek to result in sub-regional job creation and resulting social benefits, including skills, training, andapprenticeship opportunities.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with London Plan policy.
42	39	Policy WP8	WP8 New Development Affecting Waste Sites         (a) New development should be designed to ensure that existing, consented or safeguarded waste sites and sites developed for compensatory provision remain viable and can intensify without unreasonable restrictions being placed on them.         (b) Where new development is proposed that may be affected by an existing, consented or safeguarded waste site, an extant scheme, a permission for additional capacity or a site developed for compensatory provision, the applicant should:         (i) Ensure that good design mitigates and minimizes existing and potential nuisances generated by the waste use, either existing, extant, a permission for additional capacity or developed for compensatory provision         (ii) Explore mitigation measures early in the design stage, with the necessary and appropriate provisions, including the ongoingand future management of mitigation measures, secured through planning conditions and obligation         (iii) Engage early with the operator of the waste site to ensure a full understanding of the operation (including on-site activities and hours of operation) and to ensure baseline assessments are robust.	To improve clarity and consistency within the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
43	40	Para 5.52	<ul> <li>Amend examples of where a planning obligation may be considered as follows:</li> <li>Transport Management Strategies, that include Delivery and Servicing Plans that incorporate measures to; manage traffic routes to the site Traffic management measures, including the routing of vehicles; supporting staff to travel sustainably; ensure improving road safety; reducing reduce freight traffic, particularly at peak times, facilitate a transition to low emission vehicles and a monitoring regime.</li> <li>off-site post implementation monitoring-of emissions and reporting of impacts upon the water environment, particularly for new or intensified waste sites adjacent to main rivers or other watercourses</li> <li>post implementation monitoring and annual reporting of local air quality and polluting emissions from both on-site waste operations and associated HGV movements in the vicinity of new or intensified waste sites against national air quality objectives and any relevant emissions limits set as part of the planning permission and/or waste license;</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity of the SLWP with regards to examples of planning obligations that could be sought.
44	40	Policy WP9	Policy WP9 Planning Obligations: Planning obligations will be used to ensure that all new-Waste development or waste redevelopment <u>must ensure that where these have off-site impacts, these are</u> <u>addressed to make the development acceptable</u> provide that these are mitigated meets on-and off-site requirements that are made necessary by, and are directly related to, any proposed development and are reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.	To ensure consistency with the NPPF.
45	41	Para 5.54	<ul> <li>The South London Waste Plan boroughs recognise that on-going plan monitoring and review are essential to: <ul> <li>delivering the objectives of the plan</li> <li>assessing the implementation of the strategic policies</li> <li>analysing the effectiveness of policies</li> <li>analysing waste planning permissions and compliance with planning conditions and obligations</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	To improve clarity around monitoring and to ensure consistency with national policy.
46	41	New para after 5.57	The South London Waste Plan boroughs will engage with all relevant Duty to Cooperate stakeholders on an ongoing basis in a constructive, an active and an	To improve the clarity of the SLWP and to make clear the

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			ongoing basis on any relevant strategic matters. A lead borough shall be nominated to carry out this responsibility as and when required.	Plan's ongoing commitment to DtC.
47	41	New Paragraphs after 5.58 New para	In addition to monitoring the implementation of the Plan, it is equally important to ensure the performance of operational waste sites is monitored too. This is the responsibility of a number of parties, namely: The South London Waste Plan Boroughs, the Environment Agency and waste site operators.	To improve clarity of the SLWP with regards to the different stakeholders involved in monitoring and what their roles are.
		New para	The waste operator is responsible for ensuring that its regulated facility does not cause pollution of the environment and harm to human health. The operator's performance in relation to that responsibility is assessed by checking compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit.	
		facilities and those with greater risk to the environment (known as "A1 installations") or the local authority for smaller-scale facilities with lower r environment (which include "A2 installations" and "Part B installations"). responsibility for checking compliance falls to the issuer of the permit (the	Environmental permits are issued by either the Environment Agency for large-scale facilities and those with greater risk to the environment (known as "A1 installations") or the local authority for smaller-scale facilities with lower risk to the environment (which include "A2 installations" and "Part B installations"). The responsibility for checking compliance falls to the issuer of the permit (the regulator).	
		New para	<ul> <li>The Environmental Permitting Regulations are the basis for any enforcement action and the principal offences are:         <ul> <li>operating a regulated facility without a permit;</li> <li>causing or knowingly permitting a water discharge activity or groundwater activity without a permit; and</li> <li>failing to comply with a permit condition, flood risk activity emergency works notice, flood risk remediation notice or an enforcement-related notice.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
		New para	Operator competence can be considered by the regulator at any time, whether as part of the determination of an application or at any time during the life of the permit. The regulator can suspend or revoke the permit if an operator fails to comply with the conditions of the permit, risking harm to the environment or human health.	
		New para		

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
		New para	The South London Waste Plan Boroughs will monitor any enforcement action taken against waste operators to ensure that existing waste facilities do not cause harm to the environment or local communities. This will be published as part of the Waste Annual Monitoring Report. Any additional information on enforcement action can be requested from the regulator.In addition, planning legislation gives powers to local authorities to take enforcement action where development has been carried out, either: without planning permission, and / or consent; where a condition on a planning permission has not been met; and where a planning obligation has not be delivered. As such, the South London Waste Boroughs' individual Planning Enforcement teams will investigate alleged planning breaches related to waste developments within their respective boroughs. When considering what action to take, if necessary, the Boroughs will have regard to national planning policy and guidance, and any relevant legislation.	
48	41	Policy WP10	The South London Waste Plan boroughs will monitor and review the effectiveness of the plan in meeting its strategic objectives, policies and targets through the Monitoring and Contingency Table (Appendix 1). The London Borough of Sutton's Authority Monitoring Report (AMR) will report <u>on the outcome of plan</u> the monitoring and the boroughs, in consultation with each other and with other relevant Duty to Cooperate bodies as appropriate, such as the GLA, LWARB, EA, the South London Waste Partnership and the waste management industry, will decide whether it is necessary to implement any of the contingency actions in light of the monitoring.	To improve the clarity of the SLWP with regards to those stakeholders likely to be engaged in DtC and contribute towards the monitoring of the Plan.
49	43	How to read the information on Safeguarded Sites	Under "Maximum throughput (in tonnes per annum)': The maximum throughput achieved by the site in any one year between 2013 and 2017 in the last five year period, using the latest available information from the Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogator. The 2019 ItP 2021 London Plan recommends that boroughs should use this measure to assess capacity	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SWLP and to ensure the latest London Plan is referenced.
50	44 to 91	Sites Figures	Updated figures in accordance with the Figures set out in the updated Appendix 2 in Annex 1 to this schedule (and as set out in SLWP07)	So the SLWP reflects the latest available data at the time of the hearings.
51	45	Site C4 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
52	46	Site C5a Opportunity to increase waste managed	Delete: "There are no plans by the South London Waste Partnership to intensify operations at this site."	Contributes to consistency, clarity and updates with the latest information.
53	46	Site C5a Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
54	47	Site C5b Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
55	48	Site C6 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
56	48	Site C6 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of Metropolitan Green Belt-takes into consideration the wider visual or landscape effects to the adjoining countryside.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with national policy.
57	49	Site C7 Issues to Consider	Add the Tier number to the archaeological consideration: "Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains (Tier 4)"	Previously appeared on the schedule of additional modifications. Included to ensure consistency across safeguarded waste sites.
58	50	Site C8 Issues to consider	Conserving, and where possible enhancing, Ensuring the preservation or enhancement of the setting and significance of Airport House, a Grade II* Listed building opposite	To ensure consistency with national policy.
59	51	Site C9 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
60	51	Site C9 Issues to consider	Developers planning to intensify <b>develop</b> the safeguarded site should pay particular attention to:	To improve clarity and ensure consistency with national policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			<ul> <li>Designing the site so that operations, <u>whether already on site or proposed to be</u> <u>situated in replacement buildings</u>, are <u>would be</u> carried out within fully enclosed building(s) that do not impact the openness of the Green Belt/MOL</li> </ul>	
61	51	Site C9 Map	Replace existing site boundary with the site boundary in red on the map below:	To improve clarity and correct an error.
62	51	Site C10 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
63	52	Site C10 Issues to consider	• The Purley Oaks Highway Depot is an allocated Gypsy and Traveller site in the Croydon Local Plan 2018 and attention should be paid to ensure satisfactory residential amenity of the for any existing and future occupiers of this allocation.	To ensure residential amenity is protected for Gypsy and Travellers.
64	53	Site C11	Delete this site and all reference to it in the Plan	The site has planning permission for a waste use but this has not been implemented. Site is

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
				currently being used for industrial uses and the land owners do not intend to implement the waste permission.
65	54	Site C12 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
66	55	Site C13 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
67	57	Site K2 Issues to consider	Protecting the residential amenity Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
68	58	Site K3 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
69	59	Site K4 Issues to consider	Protecting the residential amenity <b>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</b> of <b>the</b> <b>occupants of</b> those <b>residential</b> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
70	59	Site K4 Opportunity to increase waste managed	Delete: "No. There are no plans by the South London Waste Partnership to intensify operations at this site." Replace with " <u>Yes"</u>	To improve clarity and correct an error.
71	55	After Site K4 Add new site safeguarding sheet:	K5 Chessington Railhead, Garrison Lane, Chessington, KT9 2LD See Annex 1 to this Schedule for the full site sheet.	Contributes to consistency, clarity and updates with the latest information.
72	61	Site M1 Issues to consider Add bullet:	<ul> <li>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
73	63	Site M3 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
74	64	Site M4 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
75	65	Site M5 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
76	66	Site M6 Issues to consider Amend 9 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of <u>takes into consideration</u> its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
77	66	Site M6 Issues to consider	Insert the following as an additional bullet point under "Issues to consider if there is a further application": <ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of the Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.
78	67	Site M7 Issues to consider Add bullet point	<ul> <li>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
79	68	Site M8 Issues to consider	Protecting the residential amenity Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
80	69	Site M9 Opportunity to increase	Delete:	Contributes to consistency, clarity and updates with the latest information.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
		waste managed	"No. The plot throughput ration is above the average for this type of facility so there are unlikely to be opportunities to intensify the throughput"	
			Add:	
			<u>"Yes. Although the plot throughput ratio is currently above average for this type of facility any forthcoming planning application seeking opportunities to intensify the throughput would need to demonstrate that the site has the appropriate environmental capacity"</u>	
81	69	Site M9 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Contributing positively to the living conditions</u> of those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
82	70	Site M10 Issues to consider Amend 7 <sup>th</sup>	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
		bullet point		
83	70	Site M10 Issues to consider	• Protecting the amenity of the Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.
		Add bullet point		
84	71	Site M11 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
85	71	Site M11 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
		Amend 7 <sup>th</sup> bullet point		

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
86	72	Site M12 Issues to Consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity of those properties <u>(both bricks and mortar and</u> <u>Gypsy and Traveller accommodation</u>) in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To ensure residential amenity is protected for Gypsy and Travellers.
87	72	Site M12 Issues to consider Amend 6 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of those using the future Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.
88	72	Site M12 Issues to consider Amend 10 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
89	73	Site M13 Issues to consider Add bullet	<ul> <li>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
90	72	Site M14 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
91	72	Site M14 Issues to consider Amend 8 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
92	74	Site M14 Issues to consider Add bullet	• Protecting the amenity of the Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.
93	75	Site M15 Issues to consider	Delete "Ensuring development does not adversely affect the adjacent Wandle Valley Conservation Area".	To ensure consistency with national policy.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
			Replace with: <u>Conserving, and where possible enhancing, significance of the adjacent</u> <u>Wandle Valley Conservation Area</u>	
94	75	Site M15 Issues to consider Amend 8 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
95	75	Site M15 Issues to consider	Insert the following as an additional bullet point under "Issues to consider if there is a further application": <ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of the Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.
96	75	Site M15 Issues to consider Add bullet	<u>Contributing positively to the living conditions of those residential properties in</u> <u>the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise</u> <u>impacts</u>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
97	76	Site M16 Issues to consider	Delete "Ensuring development does not adversely affect the adjacent Wandle Valley Conservation Area". Replace with: Conserving, and where possible enhancing, the significance of the Wandle Valley Conservation Area	To ensure consistency with national policy.
98	76	Site M16 Issues to consider Add bullet	<ul> <li><u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
99	76	Site M16 Issues to consider Amend 8 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
100	76	Site M16 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of those using the future Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
		Amend final bullet point		
101	77	Site M17 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
102	78	Site M18 Issues to consider Add bullet	<ul> <li><u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
103	80	Site S1 777 Recycling Centre	Delete Site Site Site Site Site Site Site S	The throughput of the site has significantly declined over the last few years and will continue to do so. Ongoing viability issues with the site mean the operations are due to cease. The South SLWP has sufficient capacity to achieve net- self-sufficiency without the use of this site. Therefore it is proposed to release it from its waste safeguarding.
104	81	Site S2 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
105	81	Site S2 Issues to consider Add bullet	<ul> <li><u>Undertaking an air quality assessments and transport assessments in</u> <u>accordance with the requirements of Policy WP5</u></li> </ul>	To improve the clarity of the SLWP and ensure internal consistency.
106	81	Site S2 Amend 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of those using the future Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.
107	81	Site S3	<ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of those using the future Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change
		Amend 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point		
108	81	Site S3 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
109	82	Site S3 Amend 8 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land.</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
110	83	Site S4 Issues to consider Add bullet	<ul> <li><u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
111	86	Site S7 Issues to consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
112	86	Site S7 Amend 6 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effects on the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land.</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.
113	88	Site S9 Issues to consider Add bullet	<ul> <li><u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.
114	89	Site S10 Issues to Consider	<ul> <li>Limiting or mitigating traffic movements so as not to hinder traffic flow on the surrounding roads</li> <li>Undertaking an assessment of the cumulative impacts on the highway network, which should be discussed with Transport for London, and limiting or mitigating traffic movements so as not to hinder traffic flow on the surrounding roads</li> </ul>	In response to Inspectors Question M4 (vii) 2L

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes	Reason for Change	
115	89	Site S10 Issues to Consider New bullet:	<ul> <li>Evaluating and preserving any archaeological remains</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.	
116	90	Site S11 TGM	Delete Site S11 TGM Environment from the schedule of safeguarded sites, Appendix 2 and any other references to the site in the Plan.	The site has planning permission for a waste use but this has not been implemented. Site is currently being used for industrial uses and the land owners do not intend to implement the waste permission.	
117	91	Site S12 Issues to Consider	<ul> <li>Protecting the residential amenity <u>Avoiding harm to the living conditions</u> of <u>the</u> <u>occupants of</u> those <u>residential</u> properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts.</li> </ul>	To improve clarity and ensure consistency across safeguarded sites in the SLWP.	
118	91	Site S12 Issues to Consider Amend 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point	<ul> <li>Protecting the amenity of those using the future Wandle Valley Regional Park and those using it</li> </ul>	To improve the clarity and consistency of the SLWP.	
119	91	Site S12	<ul> <li>Amend 9<sup>th</sup> bullet point:</li> <li>Designing a facility that does not impact on the openness of takes into consideration the wider visual or landscape effect of the adjoining Metropolitan Open Land.</li> </ul>	To ensure consistency with national policy and internal consistency within the SLWP.	
120	93	Appendix 1 Monitoring Table	Modify the Monitoring and Contingencies Table in accordance with Annex 2.	To ensure that the monitoring of the SLWP is effective.	
121	99	Appendix 2	Update Appendix 2 in accordance with Annex 3 to this Schedule of Main Modifications	Contributes to consistency, clarity and updates with the latest information.	
122	102	Appendix 3 Ref 21 '777 Recycling'	Safeguarding carried forward as Site S1 The throughput of the site has significantly declined and the operator is planning to cease operations due to viability. Capacity from site no longer required to meet the waste apportionment.	To ensure internal consistency within the SLWP.	
Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Propose	d Changes	Reason for Change
-----	------	------------------------	--	---	--
123	104	Appendix 4 Glossary	Additions to the Glossary: <u>Consented Waste Site: A site that has planning permission for a new waste</u> <u>management facility or an existing site that has planning permission where an</u> <u>increase in intensification is permitted, for example where:</u> • <u>longer operating times are permitted on the existing site; and/or additional</u> <u>storage, machinery, buildings, parking or access roads are permitted on the</u> <u>existing site; and/or</u> • <u>the boundary of the site is extended to allow for either of the above.</u> <u>Existing Waste Site: A waste site that is materially in operation as a waste site</u> <u>Safeguarded Waste Site: A site that is safeguarded for waste uses. This may</u> <u>include sites that are materially operational as waste facilities, vacant waste</u> <u>facilities or vacant plots of land that are safeguarded for waste.</u>		To improve clarity and consistency within the SLWP.
124	106	06 New Appendix 5	Add: Appendix 5 South London Waste Plan Adopted SLWP (2012) Policies to be Superseded	2012 Superseded Policies Replacement Policies in the Draft SLWP	To make it clear that the 2012 South London Waste Plan and its Policies is superseded in full.
			Strategic Policies		
			<b>WP1:</b> Strategic Approach to Municipal Solid Waste and Commercial and Industrial Waste	WP1: Strategic Approach to Household and Commercial and Industrial Waste	
			WP2: Strategic Approach to Other Forms of Waste	<b>WP2:</b> Strategic Approach to Other Forms of Waste	
			Non-Strategic Policies		
			WP3: Existing Waste Sites	WP3: Existing Waste Sites	
			<b>WP4:</b> Industrial Areas with Sites Suitable for Waste Facilities	The draft Plan proposes no new sites, unless for compensatory provision. As such the adopted Policy WP4 would be deleted on adoption of the draft Plan	
			<b>WP5:</b> Windfall Sites and Non MSW and C&I Waste Location Criteria	<b>WP4</b> : Sites for Compensatory Provision.	

Ref	Page	Plan Ref.	Proposed Changes		Reason for Change
			<b>WP6:</b> Sustainable Design and Construction of Waste Facilities	<b>WP6:</b> Sustainable Construction and Design of Waste Facilities	
			WP7: Protecting and Enhancing Amenity	WP5: Protecting and Enhancing Amenity	
			<b>WP8:</b> Sustainable Energy Recovery	<b>WP7:</b> The Benefits of Waste The draft Plan does not support additional Energy from Waste facilities, as set out in draft Policy WP7.	
			WP9: Planning Obligations	WP9: Planning Obligations WP10: Monitoring and Contingencies	

Annex 1: New Safeguarded Site Sheet for 'K5 Chessington Railhead'

Annex 2: Modified Appendix 1 - Monitoring and Contingency Table
Annex 3: Modified Appendix 2 - Sites counting towards the Apportionment and C&D Target

<u> Annex 1 –</u>

Proposed Safeguarded Chessington Railhead Site

# South London Waste Plan - Draft Safeguarded Site

K5 Chessington Railhead, Garrison Lane, Chessington, KT9 2LD



Site size (ha)	1.7
Type of facility	Waste Transfer Station

Type of waste	N/a
Maximum throughput tonnes per annum (tpa)	N/a
Qualifying throughput (tpa)	N/a
Licensed capacity (tpa)	N/a
Site Description	Former coal and fuel depot. The existing site is laid with hardstanding and accommodates rail sidings, a number of large storage buildings, site office, parking and weighbridge. The site is located in proximity to residential properties to the north east, along Garrison Lane.
	The landowners and leaseholders are both statutory railway undertakers and have confirmed that the site is being brought forward under rail related permitted development rights to facilitate the transfer of freight by rail. A minerals and waste operator has been appointed and granted a 25 year under- lease subject to the site being in rail use.
Planning Designations	South of the Borough Neighbourhood Policy (Policy SB1 of the Core Strategy 2012)
Currently Safeguarded	No
Opportunity to increase waste managed	Yes. Minerals and waste transfer operations are currently being progressed under rail related permitted development rights. As such, this will be a dual-use site, with minerals operations within the site. The size of the site may allow an opportunity to co-locate.
Issues to consider if there is a further application	<ul> <li>Developers planning to intensify the safeguarded site should pay particular attention to:</li> <li>Designing the site so that operations are carried out within a fully enclosed building</li> <li>Ensuring there is no potential for fugitive waste as a result of good on-site storage and effective wheel-washing on site</li> <li>Limiting or mitigating traffic movements so as not to hinder traffic flow on the surrounding roads</li> <li>Avoiding harm to the living conditions of the occupants of those residential properties in the vicinity of the site, especially with regard to air emissions and noise impacts</li> <li>Not harming biodiversity in the vicinity, including the Green Lane Site of Importance for Nature Conservation</li> <li>Providing appropriate soft landscaping</li> <li>Not prejudicing the minerals operations on site which are a complementary use</li> <li>Designing a facility that takes into consideration its wider visual or landscape effect on the adjoining Green Belt.</li> </ul>

Annex 2

Main Modifications to the Draft South London Waste Plan Monitoring Table

## Annex 2 – Modifications to Plan Appendix 1 Monitoring and Contingencies

Indicator 1.1: MANAGEMENT OF	Household, commercial and industrial (HCI) waste managed within the plan area against the combined London Plan 2021 apportionment (tonnes per annum)
HCI WASTE Indicator 1 (for Policy WP1)	Household and Commercial and Industrial Waste Managed
Target	By 2036, 929,750 932,800 tonnes per annum by 2037 (meet combined apportionment for HCI waste)
References What it monitors	London Plan Policy: SI 8         SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency for HCI Waste         SLWP Plan Objectives: 1, and 3 and 4 and 8         SLWP Policy WP1         SA Objectives: 1, 2, 4, 9, 10 and 13
Monitoring	Monitor annually against HCI target using the Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator (WDI)Assess target annually, act on rolling three-year phase considering unmet target and relevant waste management capacity in the planning pipeline in any extant planning permissionsMonitor the net change in the amount of available industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) within strategic industrial locations (SILs) and locally significant industrial locations (LSILs) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London DatahubMonitor cross-boundary waste movements of waste through the Duty to Cooperate Report in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet the HCI apportionment and achieves net self-sufficiently sufficiency to 2036
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Duty to Cooperate partners</u>

### Policy WP1 – Strategic Approach to household and commercial and industrial (HCI) waste

Management Actions	<b>Sites closing</b> – Contact landowners/developers/ to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB <b>and</b> EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output.
	<b>Compensatory provision not delivered</b> – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify this failure. <u>Undertake or commission updated assessments of site availability/viability as</u> <u>necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of</u> <u>work</u> . <u>Possibly revise Consider reviewing the</u> South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.
	Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in order to ensure that do the issue can be considered strategically.

### Policy WP2 – Strategic Approach to other forms of waste

Indicator 2.1: MANAGEMENT OF C&D WASTE	<u>C&amp;D waste managed within the plan area against forecast arisings (tonnes per annum)</u>
Indicator 2 (for Policy WP2)	Construction and Demolition Waste Managed
Target <u>2.1</u>	By 2036, 414,380 415,019 tonnes per annum by 2037 (net self-sufficiency for C&D waste)
References	London Plan Policy: SI 8
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency for C&D Waste
	SLWP Plan Objective: 2 and, 3 and 4
	SLWP Policy WP2
	SA Objective <u>s</u> : 1 <u>, 2, 4, 9, 10 and 13</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against <u>C&amp;D</u> target using the Environment Agency's waste data interrogator (WDI)
	Assess target annually, act on rolling three-year phase considering unmet target and relevant waste management capacity in the planning pipeline in any extant planning permissions

	Monitor the net change in the amount of available industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) within strategic industrial locations (SILs) and locally significant industrial locations (LSILs) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub Monitor cross-boundary waste movements of waste through the Duty to Cooperate Report in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report
<u>Outcomes sought</u>	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet forecast C&D waste arisings and achieves net self- <del>sufficiently</del> sufficiency to 2036
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Duty to Cooperate partners</u>
Management Actions	<ul> <li>Sites closing – Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output</li> <li>Compensatory provision not delivered – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify this failure. <u>Undertake or commission updated assessments of site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work.</u> Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence</li> <li>Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence do the issue can be considered strategically.</li> </ul>
Indicator 2.2: MANAGEMENT OF OTHER WASTE STREAMS	<u>Number of planning permissions for new radioactive, agricultural or hazardous waste</u> <u>Facilities (either transfer or management)</u>
Indicator 3 (for Policy WP2)	Radioactive, Agricultural and Hazardous Waste Treated
Target	Opermissions-Hazardous Waste: 21,692 tonnes per annum by 2037 Agricultural Waste: 383 tonnes per annum Radioactive Waste: N/a

References	SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency for other waste streams
<u>What it monitors</u>	SLWP Plan Objective: 2 and 4
	SLWP Policy WP2(d)
	SA Objective: 1
Monitoring	Monitor annually against targets using the Environment Agency's waste data interrogator (WDI)
	Monitor planning applications annually using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Assess target annually, act on rolling three-year phase considering unmet target and relevant waste management capacity in the planning pipeline in any extant planning permissions
	Monitor the net change in the amount of industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Monitor cross-boundary waste movements of waste through the Duty to Cooperate
	Report in the Waste Authority Monitoring Report (AMR)
Outcomes sought	That waste arisings from other waste streams are managed without the need for additional facilities within the South London Waste Plan area, unless the requirements of WP2 (d) are met.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, <b>Duty to Cooperate partners</b>
Management	Sites permitted – If new facilities are being delivered on 'windfall sites', because safeguarded sites
Actions	are not being assessed as deliverable, then investigate the reasons why. Undertake or commission
	updated assessments of site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work Analyse the boroughs' Development
	Management procedures to identify this failure.
	Examine whether there is any unidentified need for these streams of waste. Possibly revise Consider
	reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence.
	Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial
	land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of
	work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in
	order to ensure that <del>do</del> the issue can be considered strategically.

### Policy WP3 – Existing Waste Sites

INDICATOR 3.1: OPERATION OF WASTE SITES	Proportion of safeguarded waste sites <del>to be</del> <u>which are</u> operational or <del>to</del> have <u>had</u> compensatory provision provided (%)
<del>Indicator 4</del> <del>(for Policy WP3 &amp;</del> <del>WP4)</del>	Existing Waste Sites Safeguarded
Target	100% of safeguarded existing waste sites to be operational or to have compensatory provision provided
References	London Plan Policy: SI 8
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively
	<u>SLWP</u> Plan Objectives: <u>1, 2,</u> 3 <u>and 4 and 8</u>
	SLWP Policy WP3 (a to d)
	SA Objective: 1 <u>, 2 and 9</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Monitor the net change in the amount of industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) as a result of compensatory provision using the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet the London Plan apportionment <del>waste targets and meet</del> and achieve net self-sufficiency, while retaining sufficient industrial land and premises within designated SILS and LSILs across the plan area to meet future demand for other non-waste industrial uses
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	<b>Sites closing</b> – Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output
	<b>Compensatory provision not delivered</b> – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. <u>Undertake or commission updated assessments of</u>

	site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities
	or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.
	Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in order to ensure that <del>do</del> -the issue can be considered strategically.
<u>NEW INDICATOR</u> <u>3.2:</u> <u>INTENSIFICATION</u> <u>OF WASTE SITES</u>	Number and proportion of safeguarded waste sites which have been intensified over the plan period and the increase in average throughput per hectare
<u>Target</u>	To increase the efficiency of waste management operations across the South London Waste Plan area in terms of the average throughput of waste managed per hectare (by waste stream and based on a rolling three-year average)
<u>What it monitors</u>	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively SLWP Plan Objective: 4, 7 8 and 9 SLWP Policy WP3 (b) SLWP Policy WP7 SA Objectives: 3 and 4
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	To promote the efficient use of industrial land for waste management purposes across the South London Waste Plan area;         To support the circular economy and minimise waste movements within the South London Waste Plan area by facilitating the co-location of complementary waste and/or industrial uses         To retain sufficient industrial land and premises within designated SILS and LSILs across the SLWP area to meet future demand for other non-waste industrial uses (Class B and Class E[g]) and to maintain a sufficient level of vacant land necessary for `churn' and a functioning land market.

<b>Delivery Partners</b>	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	<u>Waste developments moving down the waste hierarchy - Analyse the boroughs' Development</u> <u>Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Consider</u> <u>reviewing the South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.</u>
INDICATOR 3.3: WASTE HIERARCHY	Proportion of developments on safeguarded waste sites which result in waste being managed to at least the same level in the waste hierarchy as prior to the development (%)
<del>Indicator 4</del> <del>(for Policy WP3 &amp;</del> <del>WP4)</del>	Existing Waste Sites Safeguarded
Target	100% of developments on safeguarded waste sites which result in waste being managed to at least the same level in the waste hierarchy as prior to the development
References What it monitors	London Plan Policy: SI 8         SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively         SLWP Plan Objective: 4, 7 8 and 9         SLWP Policy WP3 (e)         SLWP Policy WP7         SA Objectives: 3 and 4
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	To move waste management practices within the South London Waste Plan area up the waste hierarchy.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	Sites closing Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste

management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output
<u>Compensatory provision not delivered</u> <u>Analyse the boroughs' Development Management</u> procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Undertake or commission updated assessments of site availability/viability as necessary, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.
Waste developments moving down the waste hierarchy - Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.

# Policy WP4 – Sites for Compensatory Provision

Indicator 4.1: COMPENSATORY SITES	The amount of waste managed at compensatory sites compared to the amount of waste previously managed at the corresponding safeguarded sites which have been lost to other uses (tonnes per annum – based on three year rolling average for all waste streams)
Indicator 4 (for Policy WP3 & WP4)	Existing Waste Sites Safeguarded
<u>Target</u>	100% of compensatory sites manage at least the same amount of waste as previously managed at the corresponding safeguarded site (based on three year rolling average for all waste streams)
References What it monitors	London Plan Policy: SI 8 SLWP Vision: Net self-sufficiency SLWP Plan Objective: 1 and 2 and 4 SLWP Policy WP4 SA Objective: 1
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the Environment Agency's waste data interrogator (WDI), borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub         Monitor the net change in the amount of industrial land (Class B and Class E(g)) as a result of waste development using the GLA's Planning London Datahub

	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan area has sufficient capacity to meet waste targets and net self- sufficiently.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership ,South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, Duty to Cooperate partners
Management Actions	Sites closing – Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is a systemic failure or isolated failures. If systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If isolated, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output         Compensatory provision not delivered – Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify whether this is a systematic or isolated failure. Possibly revise South London Waste Plan to provide more sites in light of evidence.         Significant Loss of Industrial Land – Relevant Borough(s) to undertake assessment of industrial land need, either as part of existing development plan related activities or as a specific piece of work. Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in the light of evidence in order to ensure that <del>do</del> -the issue can be considered strategically.

## Policy WP5 – Protecting and enhancing amenity

INDICATOR 5.1: FULLY-ENCLOSED, COVERED WASTEC FACILITIES Indicator 5	<u>The proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities with a fully</u> <u>enclosed covered building (%)</u>
(for Policy WP5(b))	Compensatory or Intensified Sites with Fully Enclosed Covered Building
Target	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities have the parts of the site where unloading, loading, storage and processing takes place within a fully enclosed covered building
References	SLWP Vision: Operational effects of sites are mitigated
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 6 and 9
	SLWP Policy WP5(b)
	SA Objective: 11 and 15
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the South London Waste Plan protects and enhances amenity
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there are specific reasons why <b>proposals on</b> sites without a fully enclosed covered building <b>on the parts of site where unloading, loading, storage and processing takes place</b> have <del>not</del> been permitted.
	Possibly provide design guidance.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
<u>INDICATOR 5.2:</u> <u>PROTECTION OF</u> <u>GREEN BELT, MOL</u> <u>AND OPEN SPACE</u>	Number and site area of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and open space (number/hectares)

Indicator 6 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land and Open Space
Target	<b>O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located</b> on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open Land (MOL) and Open Space ( <b>O ha</b> )
References	SLWP Vision: Operational effects of sites are mitigated
What it monitors	<u>SLWP Policy WP5<del>(b)</del> (c)(i)</u>
	Plan Objective <b>s</b> : <u>5, 6 and 9</u>
	SA Objective <u>s</u> : 6 <u>, 14, 15 and 16</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development is directed to suitable locations and the Green Belt / Metropolitan OpenLand is protected from inappropriate development.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management	Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there
Actions	are specific reasons why sites on Green Belt, Metropolitan Open and Open Space have been permitted.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.3:	Number and site area of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities
PROTECTION OF NATURE	<u>located on nationally, regionally or locally designated nature conservation areas</u> (number/hectares)
CONSERVATION AREAS	
Indicator 7	
(for Policy WP5(c))	<b>Development on Nationally, Regionally or Locally Designated Nature Conservation Areas</b>
Target	0 ha of development on 0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities
	<b><u>located</u></b> on nationally, regionally or locally designated nature conservation areas <u>(0 ha)</u>
References	SLWP Plan Objective: 6 and 9
What it monitors	

	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(ii)
	SA Objective: 12
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development is directed to suitable locations outside nationally, regionally or locally designated nature conservation areas.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), <u>Green Space Information for Greater London (GiGL),</u> London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Natural England</u>
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure.
Actions	Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites with nationally, regionally or locally designated Nature Conservation Areas have been permitted.
	Possibly revise Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
NEW INDICATOR 5.4: BIODIVERSITY NET GAIN	Number and proportion of intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieving `biodiversity net gain' as measured by the latest metric published by DEFRA (number/%)
<u>Target</u>	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieve 'biodiversity net gain' on or offsite in line with London Plan Policy G6, Mayoral Guidance and the relevant borough policy
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 5, 6 and 9
	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(ii)
	SA Objective: 12
<u>Monitoring</u>	Developers to assess and report on biodiversity net gain in line with London Plan Policy G6, Mayoral Guidance and the relevant borough policy using the latest biodiversity metric published by DEFRA (Biodiversity Net Gain 3.0 is expected by the end of 2021)

	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That the development of intensified or compensatory waste facilities within the South London
Delivery Partners	Waste Plan area leaves biodiversity and habitats in a better state than before         Greater London Authority (GLA), Green Space Information for Greater London (GiGL), London         Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan         (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry and Natural England
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure to enforce the relevant planning conditions of legal agreements around biodiversity accounting
	Consider reviewing the South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5 <del>.4</del> 5: CONSERVATION AREAS	Number and site area of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located within Conservation Areas (number/hectares)
Indicator 8 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development on Nationally, Regionally or Locally Designated Heritage Conservation Areas
Target	<del>0 ha of development on</del> <u>0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities</u> located within Conservation Areas (0 ha)
References What it monitors	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(iii)         Plan Objective: 5, 6 and 9         SA Objective: 14
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development does not cause harm to the historic environment.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry <u>and Historic England</u>

Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites within <b>Nationally, Regionally or Locally Designated</b> <b>Heritage</b> Conservation Areas have been permitted. Possibly revise <b>Consider reviewing the</b> South London Waste Plan in <b>the</b> light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.6 <del>5:</del> FLOOD RISK, RIVER QUALITY AND GROUNDWATER	<ul> <li>5.6.1 Number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities waste developments granted planning permission against Environment Agency advice relating to fluvial flood risk, maintaining the natural floodplain, river quality (chemical and ecological) and groundwater source protection zones (SPZs) groundwater risk and air emissions (%).</li> <li>5.6.2 Number and proportion of waste facilities incorporating buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse;</li> <li>5.6.3 Number and proportion of waste facilities incorporating buildings or structures located within EA Flood Zones 2 or 3;</li> <li>5.6.4 Water quality objectives (chemical and ecological) for each of the main rivers within the South London Waste Plan area set out in the EA's Thames River Basin Management Plan 2015-21 as amended;</li> <li>5.6.5 Number and proportion of waste facilities located within EA groundwater source protection zones (SPZ1 inner; SPZ2 outer and SPZ3 total catchment).</li> </ul>
Indicator 9 (for Policy WP5(c))	Development Permitted Against Environment Agency Advice (covers flood risk, groundwater risk, air emissions)
Target	<ul> <li>O ha of development O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities waste developments granted planning permission permitted against Environment Agency advice</li> <li>O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities incorporate buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse;</li> <li>O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities incorporate buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse;</li> <li>O planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities incorporate buildings or structures within 8 metres of a main river or within 5 metres of an ordinary watercourse;</li> <li>Each of the main rivers within the South London Waste Plan area is assessed as having `good' chemical and `good' ecological status.</li> </ul>

	<u>O planning permissions located within EA groundwater source protection zones (SPZ1 inner; SPZ2 outer and SPZ3 total catchment).</u>
References What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated. SLWP Plan Objectives: 5, 6 and 9
	<u>SLWP Policy WP5(c)(v)</u> SA Objective: <u>6,</u> 7 <u>. 8, 11 and 15</u>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures, and the GLA's Planning London Datahub and Environment Agency river quality monitoring data Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That waste development contributes to reduce the impacts of climate change, and does not cause harm to the environment and communities by increasing flood risk or adversely affecting river or groundwater quality.
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership,</u> South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, <u>South East Rivers Trust (formerly Wandle Trust)</u>
Management	Analyse the boroughs' Development Management procedures to identify any failure.
Actions	Examine whether there are specific reasons why sites have been permitted contrary to Environment Agency advice.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence
INDICATOR 5.7: AIR QUALITY INDICATORS	5.7.1 NITROGEN DIOXIDE (NO <sub>2</sub> ): Monitored NO <sub>2</sub> levels at roadside locations adjacent to or in close proximity to operational waste sites (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) 5.7.2 PARTICULATES (PM10): Monitored PM10 <sup>1</sup> levels at roadside locations adjacent to or in close
	proximity to operational waste sites ( $\mu q/m^3$ )
	5.7.3 AIR OUALITY FOCUS AREAS : number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located within or in close proximity to Air Quality Focus Areas

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  PM10s = particulate matter less than 10 microns in size

	<ul> <li>5.7.4 AIR QUALITY NEUTRALITY: Number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieving 'Air Quality Neutral' benchmarks as defined by the Mavor<sup>2</sup></li> <li>5.7.5 POST IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING: Number and proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities which incorporate conditions and/or legal agreements to secure arrangements for post-implementation monitoring and annual reporting of local air quality and polluting emissions;</li> <li>5.7.6 ENFORCEMENT ACTION: Number of enforcement actions taken against waste sites by the Boroughs and/or Environment Agency on breach of planning permissions, conditions or environmental permits</li> </ul>
Targets	<ul> <li>5.7.1 NITROGEN DIOXIDE (N02): 40 ug/m<sup>3</sup> as an annual mean and 200 ug/m<sup>3</sup> as a 1-hour mean exceeded no more than 18 days per year based on both automatic monitoring sites forming part of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN) and any non-automatic diffusion tube networks either run by the relevant borough.</li> <li>5.7.2 PARTICULATES (PM10): 40 ug/m<sup>3</sup> as an annual mean and 50 ug/m<sup>3</sup> as a 24-hr mean not to be exceeded more than 35 days/year).</li> <li>5.7.3 AIR OUALITY FOCUS AREAS: 0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities located within or adiacent in close proximity to Air Quality Focus Areas.</li> <li>5.7.4 AIR QUALITY NEUTRALITY: 100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieve 'Air Quality Neutral' benchmarks as defined by the Mavor<sup>3</sup>.</li> <li>5.7.5 POST IMPLEMENTATION MONITORING: Where necessary and where the tests set out in National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) for the use of planning obligations are met. 100% of planning permissions for intensified annual reporting of local air quality and polluting emissions:</li> <li>5.7.6 ENFORCEMENT ACTION: Enforcement investigation is undertaken by the Boroughs and/or Environment Agency in 100% of cases where a breach of planning control or environmental permit is reported.</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'air quality neutral' standards are defined in the Mayor's supplementary planning guidance (SPG) on Sustainable design and Construction (GLA, 2014)

<u>References</u>	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.
What it monitors	SLWP Plan Objective: 5, 6 and 9
	SLWP Policy WP5(c)(vi)
	SA Objective: <del>7,</del> 10, 11, 15 and 16
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against targets using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures; and available data from the Environment Agency data; the London Air Quality Network (https://www.londonair.org.uk/); and annual Air Quality Status Reports published by each local authority and any additional local monitoring networks <sup>4</sup> that may be introduced in the vicinity of industrial locations and/or operational waste sites (typically consisting of NO <sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes).
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report and annual Air Quality Status Reports published by each local authority.
Outcomes sought	That polluting emissions from the construction and operation of waste sites and associated transport movements do not cause an exceedance of national and regional air quality objectives and are minimised to acceptable levels that do not cause undue harm are not harming to the environment or local communities
<b>Delivery Partners</b>	South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u> <u>Actions</u>	Contact landowners/developers to identify whether it is an ongoing systemic failure or a one-off, isolated failures, and verify the extent to which the failure is exclusively due to ongoing waste operations on site or the waste operator's vehicles. If the failure is ongoing and systemic, work with the GLA, LWRB, EA to act as facilitators for waste management output. If a one-off and isolated failure, work with landowners/developers to facilitate waste management output Consistent and significant failure to meet relevant air quality targets over successive monitoring periods will trigger a review of the SLWP's policies and safeguarded sites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> an example of a local air quality monitoring network is the roll out of low-cost air quality and traffic monitors as part of the South London Partnership funded InnOvaTe (Internet Of Things) project. When completed there will be up to 68 traffic sensors (Vivacity) co-located with 68 air quality monitors ('Breathe London Nodes') which will for the first time link traffic and air quality data together in real-time. The planned network will cover a range of key locations within the Borough with potential air quality issues including in the vicinity of industrial locations and waste sites. LB Merton has recently applied for additional InnOvaTe funding in order to provide additional air quality monitoring along the length of Weir Road.

<b>INDICATOR 6.1:</b>	The proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieving a
BREEAM AND	BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL 'Excellent' rating (%)
CEEQUAL RATINGS	
Indicator 10	
(for Policy WP6)	Development Achieving BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL "Excellent" Rating
Target	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities achieve a BREEAM
	and/or CEEQUAL `Excellent' rating
<b>References</b>	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.
<u>What it monitors</u>	SLWP Plan Objective: 5 and 6
	SLWP Policy WP6(a)
	SA Objective: 8
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures
	Submission of BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL 'design-stage' and 'post-construction' certificates to the relevant local planning authority at the pre-commencement and pre occupation stages respectively
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That new waste facilities are built to the highest standards of sustainable design and construction a high sustainability standard and are contributing to reducing the impacts of climate change
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry, <u>Building Research Establishment</u>
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure. Examine whether there
Actions	are specific reasons why waste facilities are not achieving BREEAM and/or CEEQUAL 'ExceeInt' sites without a fully enclosed covered building have not been permitted.
	Possibly provide design guidance.
	Possibly revise South London Waste Plan in light of evidence

## Policy WP6 – Sustainable design and construction of waste facilities

INDICATOR 6.2: CARBON EMISSIONS	Net carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) reductions delivered by waste management facilities compared to Part L of the 2013 Building Regulations (% and tonnes per annum)
<u>Targets</u>	100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste developments achieving at least a 35% on-site reduction in CO2 emissions compared to Part L2A of the 2013 Building Regulations;100% of permissions for major waste related developments achieve 'zero carbon' standards in line with Policy SI 2 of the London Plan 2021 by offsetting remaining CO2 emissions through developer 
References	London Plan Policy SI 2
What it monitors	SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.
	SLWP Plan Objective: 5 and 6
	SLWP Policy WP6(b)
	SA Objective: 5
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and the GLA's Planning London Datahub
	Submission of energy statements, `as-designed' and `as-built' simplified building energy model (SBEM) certificates to the relevant local planning authority at the planning application, pre- commencement and pre occupation stages respectively
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That new waste facilities delivering reduced CO2 emissions and are contributing to reducing the impacts of climate change
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), <u>South London Waste</u> <u>Partnership</u> , South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
<u>Management</u>	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure
Actions	Examine whether there are specific reasons why permitted waste developments have not met the
	relevant targets for reducing CO <sub>2</sub> emissions and carbon offsetting
	Possibly provide design guidance

INDICATOR 6.3: EMBODIED CARBON	Number and proportion of waste facilities minimising embodied carbon emissions using a nationally recognised Whole Life-Cycle Carbon Assessment (WLC) methodology (%)
<u>Targets</u>	<u>100% of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste developments minimise</u> embodied carbon emissions using a nationally recognised WLC methodology
References What it monitors	London Plan Policy SI 2         SLWP Vision: Managing waste efficiently and effectively and effects mitigated.         SLWP Plan Objective: 5         SLWP Policy WP6(b)         SA Objectives: 4 and 5
<u>Monitoring</u>	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures Submission of appropriate WLC certification to the relevant local planning authority at both the pre- commencement and pre occupation stages Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Outcomes sought	That new waste facilities minimising embodied carbon emissions and contributing to reducing the impacts of climate change
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure Examine whether there are specific reasons why permitted waste developments have not achieved WLC certification

#### **Policy WP7 – The benefits of waste (promoting the circular economy)**

INDICATOR 7.1: CIRCULAR ECONOMY	7.1.1 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which are supported by a Circular Economy Statement in line with London Plan Policy SI 8 (%)
	7.1.2 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities which are co-located with complimentary waste or industrial operations/ facilities (%)
	7.1.3 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which achieve `net zero waste' as defined in the Mayor's Draft Circular Economy Statement Guidance (%)
	7.1.4 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which specify and source materials and other resources sustainably based on the Mayor's Circular Economy Statement Guidance
	7.1.5 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which prioritise refurbishment or `re-purposing' of the existing building on site (as defined in the Mayor's Circular Economy Statement Guidance)
	7.1.6 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which include a completed 'Bill of Materials' <sup>5</sup> as defined in the Mayor's Circular <u>Economy Statement Guidance.</u>
	7.1.7 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which identify opportunities for the use of reused or recycled materials and set individual targets of at least 20% by value of materials
	7.1.8 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which include minimum targets for material intensity (kg/m2) - for structure, skin
	and space layers 7.1.9 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments)which include minimum targets for recycled content for structure, skin and space layers as a minimum (% by value)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The 'Bill of Materials' must contain estimates of the quantity of materials used in each 'layer' of the building (kg), material 'intensity' (kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and set targets for the minimum amount of recycled content to be used (% by value)

	7.1.10 Permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities (and other major non-waste developments) which are supported by a Recycling and Waste Reporting Form <sup>6</sup> 7.1.11 The increase in the proportion of HCI waste and C&D waste re-used and/or recycled on existing waste transfer stations within the plan area         7.1.12 The proportion of HCI and C&D waste arisings within the SLWP area which are exported out of the plan area prior to reuse or recycling (minimise)         7.1.13 Monitoring of waste recovery indicators and targets in Mayor's Environment Strategy 2018:         • Percentage of HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030;         • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030;         • Percentage of local authority collected waste (LACW) HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030;         • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030         • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030         • Percentage of local authority collected waste (LACW) HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030;         • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030         • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030         • Percentage of business waste arisings recycled by 2030         • Percentage of C&D waste going to beneficial use
Targets	7.1.1 100% of permissions are supported by a Circular Economy Statement in line with London Plan         Policy SI 8 (%)         7.1.2 Increase in the number of intensified or compensatory waste facilities which are co-located         with complimentary waste or industrial operations/ facilities (%)         7.1.3 100% of permissions achieve 'net zero waste'         7.1.4 100% of permissions specify and source materials and other resources sustainably         7.1.5 Where there is an existing building on site, 100% of permissions prioritise refurbishment or 're-purposing' of the existing building on site         7.1.6 100% of permissions include a completed 'Bill of Materials'         7.1.7 100% of permissions set individual recycling targets of at least 20% by value of materials         7.1.8 100% of permissions include minimum targets for material intensity (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) - for structure, skin and space layers         7.1.9 100% of permissions include minimum targets for recycled content for structure, skin and space layers as a minimum (% by value)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Waste and Recycling Forms must contain (i) estimates of the total amount of waste/ material generated during excavation, demolition, construction and operation (ii) how much will be reused or recycled onsite, reused or recycled offsite, or sent to landfil (iii) defined activities and targets relating to the relevant London Plan policy targets; and (iv) a commitment to monitor post implementation (% reused/ recycled)

References	7.1.10 100% of permissions are supported by a Recycling and Waste Reporting Form         7.1.11 A year on year increase in the proportion of HCI waste and C&D waste re-used and/or recycled on existing waste transfer stations         7.1.12 A year on year reduction in the proportion of HCI and C&D waste arisings which are exported out of the plan area prior to reuse or recycling         7.1.13 Waste recovery targets:         • 65% of HCI waste arisings recycled by 2030;         • 50% of LACW waste recycled by 2030;         • 75% of business waste arisings recycled by 2030;         • 95% of excavation waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         • 95% of C&D waste going to beneficial use         Plan Objective: 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9         SLWP Policy WP7         SA Objective: 4
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures and analysis of approved Circular Economy Statements Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
Delivery Partners	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management industry,
Management Actions	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure.

Indicator 7.1	The proportion of planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities involving energy from waste (%)
Indicator 11	
(for Policy WP7)	Development involving Energy from Waste
<del>Target</del>	0 planning permissions for intensified or compensatory waste facilities involve energy from waste
References	-Plan Objective: 6
	SLWP Policy WP7
	<del>SA Objective: 5</del>
Monitoring	Monitor annually against target using the relevant borough development monitoring procedures
	Report in Waste Authority Monitoring Report
<b>Delivery Partners</b>	Greater London Authority (GLA), London Waste and Recycling Board (LWARB), South London Waste
	Partnership, South London Waste Plan (SLWP) boroughs, Environment Agency (EA), waste management
	industry,
Management	Analyse the boroughs' development management procedures to identify any failure.
Actions	

Annex 3

Main Modifications to the Draft South London Waste Plan Appendix 2

#### SLWP Main Modifications Annex 2 – Changes to Appendix 2

- Strikethrough text indicates a proposed deletion. ٠
- •
- **<u>Bold Underlined</u>** indicates a proposed addition to the text. Please note, this completely supersedes previous changes that were put forward as part of SWLP07 •

Ref	Name	Maximum	Qualifying Throughput		Potential for
		<u>Throughput</u> 2015-19	HC&I	C&D	Intensification
	Croydon Capacity	2013 17			
C1	Able Waste Services	<u>56,699</u>	0	4 <del>3,268</del> 53,524	
C4	Days Aggregates Purley Depot	<u>179,300</u>	0	<del>178,593</del> <b>179,300</b>	
C5A	Factory Lane Waste Transfer Station		0	0	Yes
C5B	Factory Lane Reuse and Recycling Centre Site	<u>19,736</u>	9 <del>,623</del> 10,775	<del>5,206</del> <u>4,718</u>	<u>9+</u>
C6	Fishers Farm Reuse and Recycling Centre	<u>6,895</u>	4,542 <b>4,077</b>	θ <u>1,517</u>	
C7	Henry Woods Waste Management	<u>13,025</u>	0	0	
C8	New Era Metals		<del>4,213</del>	θ	
		<u>20,104</u>	<u>10,358</u>	<u>3,327</u>	
C9	Peartree Farm			θ	
		<u>59,282</u>	0	<u>33</u>	
C10	Purley Oaks Reuse and Recycling Centre	9,099	<del>6,684</del> <b>5,658</b>	θ <u>1,911</u>	
<del>C11</del>	SafetyKleen				
		-	<del>39</del>	Ð	Yes
C12	Stubbs Mead Depot		θ		
		<u>13,505</u>	<u>13,471</u>	0	Yes
C13	Solo Wood Recycling	<u>9,099</u>	5,000	0	
CEX	Exempt Sites	-	2,580	0	
			<del>32,883</del>	<del>227,067</del>	
	Croydon Total	<u>386,744</u>	<u>51,919</u>	<u>244,330</u>	
	Kingston Capacity				
K2	Genuine Solutions Group	342	<del>1,630</del> <b>277</b>	0	
K3	Kingston Reuse and Recycling		<del>9,392</del>	<del>0</del>	
	Centre	<u>13,443</u>	<u>7,631</u>	<u>2,823</u>	
K4	Kingston Waste Transfer Station		<del>19,620</del>		
		<u>68,297</u>	<u>40,254</u>	0	Yes
<u>K5</u>	Chessington Railhead	=	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>	Yes

KEX	Exempt Sites	=	5,000	0	
	Kingston Total	<u>82,082</u>	<del>35,642</del> <b>53,162</b>	θ <u>2,823</u>	
	Merton Capacity				
M1	B&T@Work	<u>3,729</u>	0	0	
M2	European Metal Recycling	<u>65,050</u>	<del>70,100</del> <b>46,242</b>	0 <u>1,301</u>	
М3	Deadman Confidential	<u>5,000</u>	<del>9,866</del> 5,000	0	Yes
M4	Garth Road Reuse and Recycling Centre	<u>14,594</u>	<del>15,704</del> <u>8,433</u>	ө <u>3,065</u>	
M5	Garth Road Transfer Station	<u>22,642</u>	0 <u>15,704</u> <b>20,028</b>	ө <u>453</u>	
M6	George Killoughery	<u>35,840</u>	0	θ 717	
M7	LMD Waste Management (Abbey Industrial Estate)	<u>38,459</u>	0	<del>20,774</del> <b>38,459</b>	
M8	LMD Waste Management (Wandle Way)	<u>56,920</u>	0	<del>33,845</del> <b>56,920</b>	
M9	Maguire Skips	<u>67,719</u>	0	0	Yes
M10	Powerday	<u>53,313</u>	0	4 <del>2,856</del> <b>24,981</b>	
M11	Morden Transfer Station	<u>43,564</u>	ө <u>746</u>	θ <u>5,534</u>	
M12	NJB Recycling	<u>48,687</u>	0	<del>18,030</del> <b>45,058</b>	
M13	One Waste Clearance	<u>55,665</u>	<del>13,453</del> 0	4,547 <b>54,887</b>	
M14	Reston Waste Transfer and Recovery	<u>71,595</u>	0	<del>30,131</del> <b>46,007</b>	
M15	Riverside AD Facility	<u>60,585</u>	46,341 60,585	0	
M16	Riverside Bio Waste Treatment Centre	<u>58,191</u>	51,715 58,191	0	
M17	UK and European (Ranns) Construction	<u>804</u>	0	0	Yes
M18	Wandle Waste Management	<u>677</u>	ө <u>30</u>	0	
MEX	Exempt Sites	-	1,000	0	
	Merton Total	<u>703,034</u>	213,179 200,255	<del>150,183</del> <b>277,382</b>	
	Sutton Capacity				
<del>51</del>	777 Recycling	-	<del>20,625</del> <del>2,044</del>	<del>32,972</del> <u>9,991</u>	

S2	Beddington Farmlands Energy	279,696	275,000	0	
S3	Recovery Facility Cannon Hygiene		θ	-	
55		<u>9,601</u>	<u>635</u>	0	Yes
S4	Croydon Transfer Station	<u>32,448</u>	<del>21,113</del> <b>30,826</b>	θ <b>811</b>	Yes
S5	Hinton Skips	<u>35,639</u>	<del>5,381</del> <b>3,564</b>	<del>1,819</del> <b>32,075</b>	Yes
S6	Hydro Cleansing	<u>18,244</u>	0 <u>9,567</u>	θ <u>1,204</u>	
S7	Kimpton Reuse and Recycling Centre	<u>14,799</u>	<del>8,640</del> <b>8,068</b>	θ <u>3,108</u>	
S8	King Concrete	<u>1,200</u>	0	0 400	Yes
S9	Premier Skip Hire	<u>4,036</u>	<del>8,072</del> 222	<del>2,728</del> <b>898</b>	
S10*	Raven Recycling	<u>19,874</u>	<del>5,310</del> <b>7,222</b>	<del>5,506</del> <u>5,161</u>	
<del>S11</del>	TGM Environmental	-	<del>15,000</del>	θ	
S12	Beddington Resource Recovery Facility	<u>305,000</u>	305,000	0	
S13	Exempt Sites		500	0	
	Sutton Total	<del>749,044</del> <b>720,537</b>	<del>664,641</del> <u>642,647</u> <b>640,604</b>	43,025 <u>53,648</u> <b>43,657</b>	
South	London Capacity				I
Croydo	on	<u>386,744</u>	<del>32,883</del> <b>51,919</b>	<del>227,067</del> <b>244,330</b>	
Kingst	on	<u>82,082</u>	<del>35,642</del> <b>53,162</b>	θ <u>2,823</u>	
Mertor	1	<u>703,034</u>	<del>213,179</del> <b>200,225</b>	<del>150,183</del> <b>277,382</b>	
Sutton		720,537	<del>664,641</del> <b>640,604</b>	4 <del>3,025</del> <b>43,657</b>	
South London Total		<u>1,892,397</u>	<del>946,345</del> <b>945,910</b>	420,275 568,192	
	London Capacity Against Target				
South	London Capacity	_	<del>946,345</del> <b>945,910</b>	<del>420,275</del> <b>568,192</b>	
South	London Target		<del>929,750</del> <b>932,800</b>	414,380 415,019	
South	London Capacity against Target	-	+ <u>16,565</u> + <b>13,110</b>	+5,895 +153,173	

All safeguarded sites are listed in the table, including those that at the time of publication did not contribute towards the Apportionment and C&D Target. However, these sites have potential to contribute to waste targets in future years if the amount of waste managed onsite increases e.g. through intensification.

#### SLWP Main Modifications Annex 2 – Clean Version

Ref	Name	Maximum	Qualifying	Throughput	Potential for
		<u>Throughput</u> <u>2015-19</u>	HC&I	C&D	Intensification
	Croydon Capacity				
C1	Able Waste Services	56,699	0	53,524	
C4	Days Aggregates Purley Depot	179,300	0	179,300	
C5A	Factory Lane Waste Transfer Station	19,736	0	0	Yes
C5B	Factory Lane Reuse and Recycling Centre Site		10,775	4,718	Yes
C6	Fishers Farm Reuse and Recycling Centre	6,895	4,077	1,517	
C7	Henry Woods Waste Management	13,025	0	0	
C8	New Era Metals	20,104	10,358	3,327	
C9	Peartree Farm	59,282	0	33	
C10	Purley Oaks Reuse and Recycling Centre	9,099	5,658	1,911	
C12	Stubbs Mead Depot	13,505	13,471	0	Yes
C13	Solo Wood Recycling	9,099	5,000	0	
CEX	Exempt Sites	-	2,580	0	
	Croydon Total	386,744	51,919	244,330	
	Kingston Capacity		·		
К2	Genuine Solutions Group	342	277	0	
К3	Kingston Reuse and Recycling Centre	13,443	7,631	2,823	
K4	Kingston Waste Transfer Station	68,297	40,254	0	Yes
<u>K5</u>	Chessington Railhead	-	0	0	Yes
KEX	Exempt Sites	-	5,000	0	
	Kingston Total	82,082	53,162	2,823	
	Merton Capacity				·
M1	B&T@Work	3,729	0	0	
M2	European Metal Recycling	65,050	46,242	1,301	
М3	Deadman Confidential	5,000	5,000	0	Yes

	1			
Garth Road Reuse and Recycling Centre	14,594	8,433	3,065	
Garth Road Transfer Station	22,642	20,028	453	
George Killoughery	35,840	0	717	
LMD Waste Management (Abbey Industrial Estate)	38,459	0	38,459	
LMD Waste Management (Wandle Way)	56,920	0	56,920	
Maguire Skips	67,719	0	0	Yes
Powerday	53,313	0	24,981	
Morden Transfer Station	43,564	746	5,534	
NJB Recycling	48,687	0	45,058	
One Waste Clearance	55,665	0	54,887	
Reston Waste Transfer and Recovery	71,595	0	46,007	
Riverside AD Facility	60,585	60,585	0	
Riverside Bio Waste Treatment Centre	58,191	58,191	0	
UK and European (Ranns) Construction	804	0	0	Yes
Wandle Waste Management	677	0	0	
Exempt Sites	-	1,000	0	
Merton Total	703,034	200,255	277,382	
Sutton Capacity				
Beddington Farmlands Energy Recovery Facility	279,696	275,000	0	
Cannon Hygiene	9,601	635	0	Yes
Croydon Transfer Station	32,448	30,826	811	Yes
Hinton Skips	35,639	3,564	32,075	Yes
Hydro Cleansing	18,244	9,567	1,204	
Kimpton Reuse and Recycling Centre	14,799	8,068	3,108	
King Concrete	1,200	0	400	Yes
	Centre Garth Road Transfer Station George Killoughery LMD Waste Management (Abbey) Industrial Estate) LMD Waste Management (Wandle Way) Maguire Skips Powerday Morden Transfer Station NJB Recycling One Waste Clearance Reston Waste Transfer and Recovery Riverside AD Facility Riverside Bio Waste Treatment Centre UK and European (Ranns) Construction Wandle Waste Management Exempt Sites Merton Total Sutton Capacity Recovery Facility Cannon Hygiene Cannon Hygiene Cannon Skips Hydro Cleansing Kimpton Reuse and Recycling	Centre14,394Garth Road Transfer Station22,642George Killoughery35,840LMD Waste Management (Abbey Industrial Estate)38,459MD Waste Management (Wandle Way)56,920Maguire Skips67,719Powerday53,313Morden Transfer Station43,564NJB Recycling48,687One Waste Clearance55,665Reston Waste Transfer and Recovery71,595Riverside AD Facility60,585Riverside Bio Waste Treatment Centre58,191UK and European (Ranns) construction804Wandle Waste Management677Exempt Sites-Merton Total703,034Sutton Capacity279,696Cannon Hygiene9,601Croydon Transfer Station32,448Hinton Skips35,639Hydro Cleansing18,244Kimpton Reuse and Recycling contruction14,799	Centre14,3948,433Garth Road Transfer Station22,64220,028George Killoughery35,8400LMD Waste Management (Abbey Industrial Estate)38,4590LMD Waste Management (Wandle Way)56,9200Maguire Skips67,7190Powerday53,3130Morden Transfer Station43,564746NJB Recycling48,6870One Waste Clearance55,6650Reston Waste Transfer and Recovery71,59560,585Riverside AD Facility60,58560,585Riverside Bio Waste Treatment 	Centre14,3348,4333,063Garth Road Transfer Station22,64220,028453George Killoughery35,8400717LMD Waste Management (Abbey Industrial Estate)38,459038,459Maguire Skips67,71900Powerday53,313024,981Morden Transfer Station43,5647465,534NJB Recycling48,687045,058One Waste Clearance55,665054,887Reston Waste Transfer and Recovery71,595046,007Riverside Bio Waste Treatment Centre58,19158,1910UK and European (Ranns) Construction80400Wandle Waste Management67700Exempt Sites-1,0000Exempt Sites-1,0000Exempt Sites-1,0000Exempt Sites-1,0000Exempt Sites-1,0000Exempt Sites-1,0000Construction32,44830,826811Hinton Skips35,6393,56432,075Hydro Cleansing18,2449,5671,204Kimpton Reuse and Recycling14,7998,0683,108

S9	Premier Skip Hire	4,036	222	898	
S10	Raven Recycling	19,874	7,222	5,161	
S12	Beddington Resource Recovery Facility	305,000	305,000	0	
S13	Exempt Sites		500	0	
	Sutton Total	720,537	640,404	43,657	
South	London Capacity				
Croydon		386,744	51,919	244,330	
Kingsto	Kingston		53,162	2,823	
Merton		703,034	200,225	277,382	
Sutton		720,537	640,604	43,657	
South	London Total	1,892,397	945,910	568,192	
South	London Capacity against Target				
South London Capacity		_	945,910	568,192	
South London Target		-	932,800	415,019	
South London Capacity against Target		-	+13,110	+153,173	

All safeguarded sites are listed in the table, including those that at the time of publication did not contribute towards the Apportionment and C&D Target. However, these sites have potential to contribute to waste targets in future years if the amount of waste managed onsite increases e.g. through intensification.