

Final Internal Audit Report New Supplier Set Up October 2021

Distribution: Interim Corporate Director of Resources (Section 151) Deputy

Chief Executive

Director of Commissioning & Procurement

Head of Commissioning and Procurement Corporate

Assurance Level	Issues Identified	
	Priority 1	1
Limited Assurance	Priority 2	4
	Priority 3	1

Confidentiality and Disclosure Clause

This report ("Report") was prepared by Mazars LLP at the request of London Borough of Croydon and terms for the preparation and scope of the Report have been agreed with them. The matters raised in this Report are only those which came to our attention during our internal audit work. Whilst every care has been taken to ensure that the information provided in this Report is as accurate as possible, Internal Audit have only been able to base findings on the information and documentation provided and consequently no complete guarantee can be given that this Report is necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist, or of all the improvements that may be required.

The Report was prepared solely for the use and benefit of London Borough of Croydon and to the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification. Accordingly, any reliance placed on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation, amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Please refer to the Statement of Responsibility in Appendix 3 of this report for further information about responsibilities, limitations and confidentiality.





Ex	xecutive Summary	Contents Page
1.	Introduction	2
2.	Key Issues	2
De	etailed Report	
3.	Actions and Key Findings/Rationale	4
4	Priority 3 issue	13

Appendices

- 1. Terms of Reference
- 2. Definitions for Audit Opinions and Issues Identified
- 3. Statement of Responsibility



Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The choice of a supplier has significant impact on the cost and quality of services provided by Croydon Council (Council). Supplier risk can involve a supplier over promising and under delivering, violating the contract/agreement etc.
- 1.2 The supplier selection process begins with defining the need for goods or services for the Council and ends with selecting and contracting the chosen supplier. It is governed by a set of rules that are agreed and communicated to staff. These include 'P2P Guidance Document', the 'Tendering and Contract Regulations' and the 'Procurement Governance Handbook', as well as legislative requirements, such as IR35, Money Laundering and Modern Slavery.
- 1.3 All new supplier registration requests need to be processed through the My Resources system by a requisitioner (using the Iprocurement module).
- 1.4 This audit focused on the process, from the supplier request form being completed and setting up each supplier. This did not cover procurement and supplier selection processes.
- 1.5 The fieldwork for this review was completed during the government measures put in place in response to Covid-19. While our review and testing was performed remotely, we have been able to obtain all relevant documents required to complete the review.
- 1.6 This audit was conducted as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2020-21.

2. Key Issues

Priority 1 Issues

Instances were identified where iProcurement access had been granted without both the relevant Director authorisation and the Buying Team's approval. (Issue 1)

Priority 2 Issues

31 out of 59 users with iProcurement access had not completed the requisitioner training e-learning module. (Issue 2)

49 supplier registrations in year 2020 were still pending approval to be set up in the system. (Issue 3)

Testing of a sample of seven new supplier registrations for individuals identified one instance where the supplier was set up without evidence of the IR35 panel's approval. (Issue 4)



Examination of the records held for new suppliers was unable to determine what checks had been conducted for each of these new suppliers. (**Issue 5**)

The Priority 3 issue is detailed in area 4 below.



Detailed Report

3. Actions and Key Findings/Rationale

Audit Area: New Supplier Request

Priority	Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 1
1	1) Where we are missing a form from your list we have/will emailed the officer requiring this to be returned to us within two weeks and stating if it's not returned then access will be removed. 2) We will be comparing the full list of requisitioners with those we have forms for to see and take the same action for these requisitioners 3) My Resources Support Team are amending their process going forwards to ensure that this issue does not happen in the future: their proposed process is as follows: An employee can only get access to relevant roles in My Resources once their line manager has completed and signed My Resources user request form and raises a FreshDesk ticket. If the access form contains a request for Procurement role or if	A requisitioner is an employee with a procurement role that can request a new supplier registration and create requisitions for purchases. The current pool of requisitioners was agreed with the Council's Director Leadership Team (DLT) to help control the number of users with access to iProcurement. The 'My Resources: Frequently Asked Questions' guidance document explains that iProcurement access is requested through a 'iProcurement User Access Form' evidencing Director's authorisation being submitted to the Buying Team for final review and approval. Testing of the set up and approval of a sample of ten new requisitioners (set up since 1 January 2020) identified: • three instances (MyR User Account 7000905, 2002623 and 2001128) where access had been obtained by completing a standard 'My Resources (Oracle) User Access Request Form' to My Resources Support with only line manager approval. In each of these cases the setup of each user's access had been completed by mirroring an existing user, where the existing user used had iProcurement access. • One instance where the user request form could not be found (MyR User Account 7000889). The My Resource Support officer subsequently confirmed that the iProcurement access was applicable to the user's role as an accountant. Where iProcurement access is not reviewed by the Buying Team, there is a risk that inappropriate access is granted which is not aligned with the employees' roles and duties.

CROYDON

New Supplier Set Up 2020-21

the line manager has requested an employee's profile to be mirrored or copied from an existing employee, the Support team would grant access to all relevant roles except Procurement access, for which the line manager has to contact the Buying team directly, which is documented in the user request form and the Croydon's intranet page.

Buying team will review the request and if approved by them, would create a Freshdesk ticket requesting access of iProcurement role to the specific user.

The other scenario when a user would automatically be assigned a procurement role is when that user who has an existing Procurement role, moves from being a Contingent (/Agency) worker to a Permanent member of staff where the old user account would be disabled and a new user account is setup.

We have identified that there are possibilities of human error while replicating the access to a new employee and missing to check if one of the roles are Procurement



related. To avoid this, the Support Team have agreed to review an existing report monthly, which lists the users and the roles assigned, and check if the Procurement role assigned in that month to the users, has been requested / approved by the Buying team.

Responsible Officer	Deadline
Strategic Procurement Manager / Oracle Application Support Manager	Point 1 – 31/10/21 Point 2 – 31/10/21 Point 3 – 31/10/21





Priority	Action Prop	osed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 2
2	caused by and implem	d that the issues were the issues in Issue 1 entation the actions in	The e-learning module 'Procurement Requisition and Receipting' has been developed to provide knowledge of the requisition process. This training is mandatory for new requisitioners and is required to be recorded on the 'iProcurement User Access Form'.
		Id solve the issue. the following is or surety:	Examination of a list of users which were assigned the iProcurement role between 1 January 2020 and 31 January 2021 established that 31 (out of 59) requisitioners had not completed the e-learning module.
	requisitione include ch	Team on new rapproval process ton eck for evidence of	Testing of a sample ten new requisitioners found four instances (MyR User Account 098290, 7000905, 2002623 and 2001128) where the required e-learning had not been completed. All four of these cases were where iProcurement access had been inappropriately granted through My Resources Support (refer issue 1).
	completed training.		If requisitioners do not complete the e-learning module 'Procurement Requisition and
Respons	sible Officer	Deadline	Receipting', there is a risk that they may not understand and comply with the procurement policies, processes and practices.
Strategic Procurer Manager	nent	31/10/2021	



Priority	Action Proposed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 3	
2	Due to staff absences in the team, since 1st September a new process has been implemented	Examination of the 'Pending Approval Supplier' listing as at 25 January 2021 found 49 swith 'prospective' status, which means that the supplier registration for these had no completed. This was analysed as follows:	
	where no request for more information (RMIs) will be	Month Number pending	
	allowed, instead incomplete submissions will be rejected and	Jan-Mar 2020 16	
	successful ones approved. My Resources Support team are investigating to identify a way to delete the existing RMIs after the 30 September. It is acknowledged that rejecting a request rather than RMI does not	Apr-Jun 2020 5	
		Jul-Sep 2020 15	
		Oct-Dec 2020 13	
		Total 49	
	in itself mitigate the risk of late payment of invoices. However, it will help in giving clarity to requisitioners as it will be clear a new request is required. Ultimately the service area concerned is responsible for	Most of these requests had been reverted back to the respective requisitioners to reque information, but this information had still not been provided to the Buying Team. Dis with the Strategic Procurement Management Manager established that in a number of cases may have been raised by staff who had either left the Council or changed roles at the Procurement team would need to contact each requisitioner individually. Review of the 'Pending Approval Supplier' listing by the Governance Officer identified	cussion of these and that
managing suppliers and ensuring they are paid on time this is		least one of the suppliers had outstanding invoices not yet paid due to the supplier not yet set up, (namely BizSpace engaged by Children's Services).	
	beyond remit of Procurement Team.	When new suppliers are not being set up in a timely manner, there could be a risk of disin the procurement process leading to outstanding invoices not being paid on time. The result in suppliers discontinuing their services and the Council being levied interest unlate Payment of Commercial Debts (Interest) Act 1998.	his may
Respons	sible Officer Deadline		



1/12/2021 (subject of a practical olution being bund).			
--	--	--	--

Priority	Action Prop	oosed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 4
2	was made supplier 1: However, identified, a sample siz occurrence for carrying going to be team the course of a is adequate to both the moves to (2) and covere	vledged that a mistake by the officer when 24124 was set up. this was the only 1 albeit with a very small re, as this is a rare. Given the resource g out this activity is moving to a different most proportionate ction is to ensure IR35 rely covered in training e temporary team it (1) (already completed) d when training of the resource in post	If a new supplier potentially falls within the scope of the IR35 rules, the Council's IR35 panel (in conjunction with the Service) will undertake additional checks using the Check Employment Status for Tax (CEST) tool to determine the supplier's employment status. If setting an individual up as a supplier, the requisitioner must attach the IR35 panel's approval email to the submitted supplier registration request. In the absence of this approval, the supplier registration request will be rejected by the Procurement Officer processing the supplier registration request. Testing of a sample of seven new supplier registrations for individuals (set up on MyResources since January 2020) identified one instance where the supplier was set up without evidence of the IR35 panel's approval (Supplier number 124124). When IR35 rules are incorrectly applied, there is risk that fines and other financial penalties may be levied by HMRC.
Respons	sible Officer	Deadline	
Strategic Procurer Manager	ment	Completed	



new team is in post)



Audit Area: Supplier Selection and Checks

710.0117110	a. cappiloi	ocioonon ana oncono	
Priority	Action Prop	oosed by Management	Detailed Finding/Rationale - Issue 5
2	task, it is accare benefits and as a continuous evidence the carried out balanced at time taken to checks that out. In acceptant to firmulikely to cat a later darestraints in something now, however by producing for officers supplier setup to capathe C&P	difficult balance for this eknowledged that there is both in audit terms thecklist for officers to nat a check has been in a check has to be against the additional to record details of the at have been carried addition this task is ried out in one go from hish, so officers are come back to the task atte. Given the resource in the team, this is not we intend to action wer we will action this and a simple check list to complete for each tup, once the team is city following on from a restructure and to fill the vacancies	All new suppliers must go through the supplier set up process prior to the Council committing for the supplier to provide their goods or services. This involves the Procurement Governance officer undertaking a series of checks through the Companies House service and each supplier company website, including obtaining evidence of incorporation, business registration, company name and address. Examination of the records held for some new suppliers was unable to determine what checks had been conducted for each of these new suppliers. Where new supplier checks are not properly documented, there is a risk that these checks may not have been conducted and of the onboarding of unscrupulous or fictitious suppliers. Furthermore, in the event of a query with the supplier setup, there is no record of who performed what checks, if any.
Respons	sible Officer	Deadline	
Strategic Procurer Manager	ment	When C&P team is back up to capacity following restructure	



	timated to be by //03/22
3	100/22



3. Priority 3 Issue

Action Proposed by Management	Findings
Audit Area: Supplier Selection and Check Agreed this would help following steps proposed: 1) Full outstanding list send to IR35 panel. (one off) 2) Where there is a supplier set up rejected due to lack of IR35 panel approval, send regular email to IR35 panel so they are aware.	Off-payroll working (IR35) tax legislation applies where the supplier is an individual or a single person (director) company. When engaging these suppliers the Council is responsible for assessing their employment status to determine if off-payroll (IR35) working rules apply. Examination of the 'Pending Approval Supplier' listing as at 25 January 2021 found that there were significant number of suppliers (who were individuals) where IR35 may apply. Discussion with Risk & Corporate Programme Office Officer who is on the Council's IR35 panel established that, in most cases, the panel was sometimes only getting notified of potential IR35 cases several months after the services had already been delivered, usually because the supplier was complaining that they had not been paid. The Risk & Corporate Programme Office Officer felt that communication of the 'Pending Approval Supplier' list would be useful for IR35 panel to enable the panel to foresee and proactively deal with any potential IR35 cases. Where the IR35 panel is not aware of and therefore not able to deal with IR35 cases proactively, there is a risk that suppliers are inappropriately engaged and paid resulting in the Council being in breach of IR35 rules.
Strategic Procurement Manager Strategic Procurement Manager (process start) then ongoing by Procurement Governance or replacement team).	Deadline 30/10/2021 30/10/2021

AUDIT TERMS OF REFERENCE New Supplier Set Up

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The choice of a supplier has significant impact on the cost and quality of services provided by the Council. Supplier risk can involve a supplier over promising and under delivering, violating the contract/agreement etc.
- 1.2 The supplier selection process begins with defining the need for goods or services for the Council and ends with selecting and contracting the chosen supplier. It is governed by a set of rules that are agreed and understood by the staff. All new supplier registration requests need to be processed through My Resources.
- 1.3 The sourcing of new suppliers must adhere to the internal 'P2P Guidance Document', the 'Tendering and Contract Regulations' and the 'Procurement Governance Handbook', as well as legislative requirements, such as IR35 Money Laundering and Modern Slavery.
- 1.4 This audit will focus on the process, from the supplier request form being completed, of setting up each supplier and not on the procurement and supplier selection processes.
- 1.5 This audit is being conducted as part of the agreed Internal Audit Plan for 2020-21

2. OBJECTIVES AND METHOD

- 2.1 The overall audit objective is to provide an objective independent opinion on the adequacy and effectiveness of controls / processes.
- 2.2 The audit will for each controls / process being considered:
 - Walkthrough the processes to consider the key controls:
 - Conduct sample testing of the identified key controls, and
 - Report on these accordingly.

3. SCOPE

3.1 This audit included the following areas (and issues raised):



	Issues Raised		
Control Areas/Risks	Priority 1 (High)	Priority 2 (Medium)	Priority 3 (Low)
Regulatory, Organisational and Management Requirements	0	0	0
New Supplier Requests	1	3	0
Supplier Selection and Checks	0	1	1
On-boarding new suppliers	0	0	0
Management Reporting	0	0	0
Total	1	4	1



Appendix 2

Definitions for Audit Opinions and Identified Issues

In order to assist management in using our reports:

We categorise our **audit assurance opinion** according to our overall assessment of the risk management system, effectiveness of the controls in place and the level of compliance with these controls and the action being taken to remedy significant findings or weaknesses.

Full Assurance	There is a sound system of control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are constantly applied.
Substantial Assurance	While there is basically a sound system of control to achieve the system objectives, there are weaknesses in the design or level of non-compliance of the controls which may put this achievement at risk.
Limited Assurance	There are significant weaknesses in key areas of system controls and non-compliance that puts achieving the system objectives at risk.
No Assurance	Controls are non-existent or extremely weak, leaving the system open to the high risk of error, abuse and reputational damage.

Priorities assigned to identified issues are based on the following criteria:

Priority 1 (High)	Fundamental control weaknesses that require immediate attention by management to action and mitigate significant exposure to risk.		
Priority 2 (Medium)	Control weakness that still represent an exposure to risk and need to be addressed within a reasonable period.		
Priority 3 (Low)	Although control weaknesses are considered to be relatively minor and low risk, still provides an opportunity for improvement. May also apply to areas considered to be of best practice that can improve for example the value for money of the review area.		



Appendix 3

Statement of Responsibility

We take responsibility to the London Borough of Croydon for this report which is prepared on the basis of the limitations set out below.

The responsibility for designing and maintaining a sound system of internal control and the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities rests with management, with internal audit providing a service to management to enable them to achieve this objective. Specifically, we assess the adequacy and effectiveness of the system of internal control arrangements implemented by management and perform sample testing on those controls in the period under review with a view to providing an opinion on the extent to which risks in this area are managed.

We plan our work in order to ensure that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses. However, our procedures alone should not be relied upon to identify all strengths and weaknesses in internal controls, nor relied upon to identify any circumstances of fraud or irregularity. Even sound systems of internal control can only provide reasonable and not absolute assurance and may not be proof against collusive fraud.

The matters raised in this report are only those which came to our attention during the course of our work and are not necessarily a comprehensive statement of all the weaknesses that exist or all improvements that might be made. Recommendations for improvements should be assessed by you for their full impact before they are implemented. The performance of our work is not and should not be taken as a substitute for management's responsibilities for the application of sound management practices.

This report is confidential and must not be disclosed to any third party or reproduced in whole or in part without our prior written consent. To the fullest extent permitted by law Mazars LLP accepts no responsibility and disclaims all liability to any third party who purports to use or rely for any reason whatsoever on the Report, its contents, conclusions, any extract, reinterpretation amendment and/or modification by any third party is entirely at their own risk.

Registered office: Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD, United Kingdom. Registered in England and Wales No 0C308299.